1	JOHN C. CRUDEN Acting Assistant Attorney General	
2 3	Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice ELISE S. FELDMAN	•
4	Environmental Enforcement Section	
5	Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice	
6	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, California 94105	
7	Telephone: (415) 744-6470 Facsimile: (415) 744-6476	,
8		
9	THOMAS P. O'BRIEN United States Attorney	
10	Central District of California 1200 U.S. Courthouse	
11	312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012	
12 13	Telephone: (213) 894-2434 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141	
14		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
16	Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page	
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI	
18	WESTERN DIVISION	LIFORNIA
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and CALIFORNIA	
20	DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL,	CIVIL ACTION NO.
21	Plaintiffs,	09-0866 (ABC)x
22   23	v.	AMENDED CONSENT DECREE
24	NORTHROP GRUMMAN SPACE & MISSION	
25	SYSTEMS CORP., AND ITS CORPORATE PREDECESSORS AND AFFILIATES TRW INC.	
26	AND LUCAS WESTERN LLC (FORMERLY WESTERN GEAR CORPORATION),	
27	(COLLECTIVELY, NORTHROP GRUMMAN SPACE & MISSION SYSTEMS CORP.);	
28	ARVINMERITOR, INC., EDWARD R. KIPLING, RONALD C. KIPLING, LAWSON FINANCIAL,	
	LLC, MAREMONT CORPORATION,	

.

1	Cont.
2	Cont.
3	ALBERTSON LAW GROUP, P.S., SUCCESSOR TO REHBERG & ALBERTSON P.S., AS TRUSTEE OF
4	THE KIPLING LIVING TRUST DATED APRIL 28,   1987 AND OF THE KIPLING GENERATION
5	SKIPPING TRUST DATED OCTOBER 20, 1989, SOTO ASSOCIATES, JACOB SPERLING,
6	INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE JACOB SPERLING FAMILY TRUST, ZVI
7	SPERLING, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE SPERLING FAMILY TRUST, GARY
8	LZAMIR. INDIVIDUALLY (COLLECTIVELY, THE
9	"825 LAWSON STREET PARTIES"); ALLFAST FASTENING SYSTEMS, INC.; JAMES H. RANDALL REVOCABLE TRUST DATED
10	SEPTEMBER 17, 1984; THE BIXBY RANCH
11	COMPANY; HAMILTÓN STANDARD CONTROLS, INC.; CACIQUE, INC.; CALIFORNIA
12	HYDROFORMING COMPANY, INC.;   CALIFORNIA STEEL AND THRE CAMPRELI
13	SOUP COMPANY; THE JOSEPH CAMPBELL COMPANY; CARMEX RAILROAD LLC;
14	CHEMTURA CORP.; JACK C. ECOFF FAMILY TRUST; EIGHTH & PROCTOR LLC; GS
15	LINVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC: HENKEL
16	CORPORATION; INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY; MASONITE CORPORATION; HITCHCOCK REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS, LLC;
17	INVITROGEN CORPORATION; ITT
18	CORPORATION; ROBIN KESSLER, AS TRUSTEE   OF THE ROBERT SAGER TRUST; OAKITE
19	PRODUCTS, INC.; PHYSICIANS FORMULA, INC.; JOSEPH POLTORAK; ARTHUR SCHULTZ;
20	DANIEL SAPARZEDAH; RREEF-WEST VI, INC.; SIGMA PLATING COMPANY, INC.; OTTERBEIN
21	PROPERTIES, INC.; SOLO ENTERPRISES CORP.;
22	M-BRO CORP.; THE MUGICA FAMILY TRUST; RICHARD MUGICA, JR., AS TRUSTEE OF THE
23	MUGICA FAMILY TRUST; EDWARD MUGICA, AS TRUSTEE OF THE MUGICA FAMILY TRUST;
24	MARGARET MUGICA AS TRUSTEE OF THE   MUGICA FAMILY TRUST; THE
25	ADAMS-CAMPBELL CO., LTD.; AND MBH HOLDINGS, LLC.
26	
27	Defendants.
28	

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
2	Attorney General of the State of California
	JAMES HUMES Chief Assistant Attorney General
3	KEN ALEX
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General DONALD A. ROBINSON
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
6	ANN RUSHTON California State Bar No. 62597
7	Deputy Attorney General
8	300 South Spring Street   Los Angeles, California 90013
-	Telephone: (213) 897-2608
9	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff California Department of Toxic Substances Control
11	CHRISTIAN VOLZ, ESQ. State Bar No. 139532
12	McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP
13	101 California Street, 41st Floor   San Francisco, California 94111
14	Telephone: (415) 267-4000 Facsimile: (415) 267-4198
15	E-mail: cvolz@mckennalong.com
16	Attorney for Defendants Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp., and
17	its corporate predecessors and affiliates TRW Inc. and Lucas Western LLC (formerly, Western Gear Corporation), (collectively, Northrop Grumman Space &
18	Mission Systems Corp.)
	ALBERT M. COHEN, ESQ.
19	California Bar No. 141525 Loeb & Loeb, LLP
20	10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200
21	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 282-2228
22	Facsimile: (310) 919-3825 Email: acohen@loeb.com
23	
24	Attorney for Defendant ArvinMeritor, Inc., Edward R. Kipling, Ronald C. Kipling, Lawson Financial, LLC, Maremont Corporation, Albertson Law Group,
25	P.S., successor to Rehberg & Albertson P.S., as Trustee of the Kipling Living Trust dated April 28, 1987 and of the Kipling Generation Skipping
26	Trust dated October 20, 1989, Soto Associates, Jacob Sperling, individually and as Trustee of the Jacob Sperling Family Trust, Zvi Sperling,
27	individually and as Trustee of the Sperling Family Trust, Gary Zamir,
28	individually (collectively the "825 Lawson Street Parties")

1	Law Offices of Richard Meaglia
2	688 West Foothill Boulevard
3	Monrovia, California 91016 Telephone: (626) 303-1920
4	
5	JAMES H. RANDALL
6	Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc. 15200 Don Julian Road
7	City of Industry, California 91745 Telephone: (626) 968-9388
8	Facsimile: (626) 968-9393
9	Aits many/ Due Co for Defendant Allfort Fortoning Contours Inc. and
10	Attorney/ Pro Se for Defendant Allfast Fastening Systems, Inc. and James H. Randall Revocable Trust Dated September 17, 1984
11	KATHLEEN MCFADDEN
12	United Technologies Corporation Litigation Department - MS 524-Legal
13	One Financial Plaza Hartford, Connecticut 06101
14	Telephone: (860) 728-7895
15	MATTHEW I. KAPLAN, ESQ.
16	California Bar No. 177242 Tucker Ellis & West LLP
17	515 South Flower Street, Forty Second Floor
18	Los Angeles, CA 90071-2223 Telephone: (213) 430-3309
19	Facsimile: (213) 430-3409
20	Attorneys for Defendants the Bixby Ranch Company and
21	Hamilton Standard Controls, Inc.
22	GEORGE C. SALMAS, ESQ.
23	California Bar No. 62616 MICHAEL HAMBLY, ESQ.
24	California Bar No. 119834 Salmas Law Group
25	1880 Century Park East. Suite 420
26	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-0721 Facsimile: (310) 788-8923
27	Facsimile: (310) 788-8923
28	Attorneys for Defendant Cacique Inc

1	DAVID BONAFEDE
2	PRESIDENT
	California Hydroforming Company, Inc. 850 South Lawson Street
3	City of Industry, California 91748-1103
4	Telephone: (626) 912-0036 Facsimile:626-965-5944
5	racsimile.020-903-3944
6	Pro Se for Defendant California Hydroforming Company, Inc.
7	ARTHUR FINE, ESQ.
8	California Bar No. 42461
9	Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp, LLP 11377 West Olympic Blvd.
_	Los Angeles, California 90064-1683
10	Telephone: (310) 312-3133 Facsimile: (310)231-8333
11	
12	Attorney for Defendant California Steel and Tube by its successor in interest
13	California Steel And Tube LLC
14	DAVID COOKE, ESQ.
15	California Bar No. 094939 Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP
16	Three Embarcadero Center, 12th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4074
	Telephone: (415) 837-1515 Facsimile: (415) 837-1516
17	Facsimile: (415) 837-1516
18	
19	Attorney for Defendants Campbell Soup Company and The Joseph Campbell Company
20	ROBERT G. RUSSELL, JR.
21	California Bar No. 067372
22	Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves, & Savitch, LLP 530 B. Street, Suite 2100
23	San Diego, California 92101
	Telephone: (619) 515-3244 Facsimile: (619) 744-5444
24	
25	COLIN P. KRUGER
26	Manager  401 West A Street Suite 1950
27	401 West A Street, Suite 1950   San Diego, California 92101
28	Telephone: (619) 234-7888

1	MARGARET ROSEGAY, ESQ.
2 3	California Bar No. 96963 Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
_	50 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94105-2228
4	Telephone: (415) 983-1305
5	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200
6	Attorney for Defendant Chemtura Corp.
7	•
8	MALISSA H. MCKEITH, ESQ. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
9	221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200   Los Angeles, CA 90012-2601
10	Telephone: (213) 250-1800
11	
12	Attorney for Defendant Jack C. Ecoff Family Trust
13	NANCY J. RICH, ESQ. Illinois Bar No. 6187304
14	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
15	525 West Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60661-3693
16	Telephone: (312) 902-5536 Facsimile: (312) 577-8676
17	
18	Attorney for Defendant Eighth & Proctor LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company
19	JOHN H. CABALLERO, ESQ.
20	Schiada & Caballero 12070 Telegraph Road, Suite 103
21	Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670-3771 Telephone: (562) 941-7488
22	1 elephone: (502) 941-7488
23	Attorney for Defendant GS Investment Properties LLC
24	
25 26	
27	
28	

1 2 3 4 5 6	JOHN F. CERMAK, JR., ESQ. California Bar No. 146079 SONJA INGLIN, ESQ. California Bar No. 90799 Baker & Hostetler LLP 12100 Wilshire Boulevard, 15th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-7120 Telephone: (310) 442-8889 Facsimile: (310) 820-8859
7 8	Attorney for Defendants Henkel Corporation, International Paper Company, and Masonite Corporation
9 10 11 12	YENYAO HSIEH, ESQ. California Bar No. 216929 Manning, Leaver, Bruder & Berberich 5750 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 655 Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 937-4730
13 14 15 16 17	PENNY L. REEVES, ESQ. California Bar No. 106768 Manning Leaver Bruder & Berberich 5750 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 655 Los Angeles, California 90036-3637 Telephone: (323) 937-4730 Facsimile: (323) 937-6727
18	Attorneys for Defendant Hitchcock Real Estate Holdings, LLC
19 20 21 22 23 24	STEPHEN C. JONES, ESQ. California Bar No. 76920 Greenberg Traurig, LLP 2700 Two Commerce Square 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 988-7867 Facsimile: (215) 717-5268.
25	Attorney for Defendant Life Technologies corporate successor to Invitrogen Corporation, corporate successor to Dexter Corporation
26   27	RICHARD C. COFFIN, ESQ. California Bar No. 70562
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP 350 California Street, 22nd Floor
	San Francisco, California 94104-1435 Telephone: (415) 228-5400 Facsimile: (415) 228-5450

1	FERN FLEISCHER DAVIES
2	ITT Corporate Offices   4 West Red Oak Lane
3	White Plains, New York 10604
4	Telephone: (914) 641-2000 Facsimile: (914) 696-2971
5	
6	Attorneys for Defendant ITT Corporation
7	ROBIN KESSLER, TRUSTEE ROBERT SAGER TRUST
8	C/O DONALD SCOTT GORDON, ESQ. Law Offices of Donald Scott Gordon
9	12100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 800
10	Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: (310) 806-9205
$_{11}$	
12	Pro Per for Defendant Robin Kessler, as Trustee of the Robert Sager Trust
13	KATHY E. ROBB, ESQ. New York Bar No. 2311710
14	200 Park Avenue, 52nd Floor
15	New York, New York 10166-0136   Telephone: (212) 309-1128   Facsimile: (212) 319-1100
16	Facsimile: (212) 319-1100
17	Attorney for Defendant Oakite Products, Inc.
18	J. SCOTT WOOD, ESQ.
19	California Bar No. 136306 Foley & Mansfield PLLP
20	1111 Broadway, 10th Floor Oakland, California 94607
21	Telephone: (510)590-9500 Facsimile: (510) 590-9595
22	Facsimile: (310) 390-9393
23	Attorney for Defendants Physicians Formula, Inc., Joseph Poltorak,
24	Arthur Schultz, and Daniel Saparzedah
25	
26	•
27	,
28	

1	MARIA PILAR HOYE, ESQ.
2	California Bar No. 157368 Latham & Watkins LLP
3	355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560
4	Telephone: (213) 485-1234
5	Facsimile: (213) 891-8763
6	Attorney for Defendant RREEF-West VI, Inc.
7	DAVID W. BURHENN, ESQ.
.8	California Bar No. 105482 Burhenn & Gest LLP
9	624 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2200
10	Los Angeles, California 90017-3321 Telephone: (213) 688-7715
11	
12	Attorney for Defendant Sigma Plating Company, Inc., and Otterbein Properties, Inc.
13	MICHAEL A. FRANCIS, ESQ.
14	California Bar No. 128216 Demetriou, Del Guercio, Springer & Francis, LLP
15	801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1000
16	Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 624-8407
ì7	Facsimile: (213) 624-0174
18	Attorney for Defendants Solo Enterprises Corp., M-Bro Corp., the Mugica Family
19	Trust, Richard Mugica, Jr., as Trustee of the Mugica Family Trust, Edward Mugica, as Trustee of the Mugica Family Trust, Margaret Mugica, as
20	Trustee of the Mugica Family Trust.
21	MARSHALL A. RUTTER, ESQ.
22	California Bar No. 30266 Rutter Hobbs & Davidoff Incorporated
23	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, California 90067
24	Telephone: (310) 286-1700 Facsimile: (310) 286-1728
25	
26	Attorney for Defendants The Adams-Campbell Co., Ltd. and MBH Holdings, LLC, a California general partnership
27	width Holdings, LLC, a Camornia general partnership
28	

1		
2		TABLE OF CONTENTS
3	I.	BACKGROUND -2-
4 5	II.	JURISDICTION
6	III.	PARTIES BOUND 8 -
7 8	IV.	DEFINITIONS 9 -
9	V.	GENERAL PROVISIONS
10 11	VI.	PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY PERFORMING SETTLING DEFENDANT
12 13	VII.	REMEDY REVIEW 26 -
14	VIII.	QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS - 27 -
15	IX.	ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS 30 -
16   17	X.	REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 37 -
18	XI.	EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS 41 -
19 20	XII.	PROJECT COORDINATORS
21	XIII.	ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK 45 -
22 23	XIV.	CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION
24	XV.	EMERGENCY RESPONSE 53 -
25	XVI.	PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS 55 -
26   27	XVII.	INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE 62 -
28		

1	XVIII.	FORCE MAJEURE
2 3	XIX.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION
4	XX.	STIPULATED PENALTIES 75 -
5 6	XXI.	COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS 82 -
7	XXII.	COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS 89 -
8	XXIII.	EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION - 93 -
10	XXIV.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION 95 -
11	XXV.	RETENTION OF RECORDS 97 -
12 13	XXVI.	NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS 100 -
14	XXVII.	EFFECTIVE DATE 101 -
15 16	XXVIII.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
17	XXIX.	APPENDICES 102 -
18	XXX.	COMMUNITY RELATIONS 103 -
19 20	XXXI.	MODIFICATION
21	XXXII.	LODGING, OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 104 -
22 23	XXXIII.	SIGNATORIES/SERVICE
24	XXXIV.	REVOCATION OF UAO
25 26	XXXV.	FINAL JUDGMENT 106 -
27		
28		
	I	

### I. BACKGROUND

- A. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Sections 106, 107, and 113(g)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607 and 9613(g)(2); and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6973. The State of California on behalf of the State Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"), joined the complaint in this matter with respect to the claims under Section 107 and 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(g)(2).
- B. The complaint seeks, *inter alia*: (1) reimbursement of costs incurred by EPA, the Department of Justice, and DTSC for response actions at the Puente Valley Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site, Area 4, in Los Angeles County, California, as more fully defined in Section IV below (the "Site"), together with accrued interest; and (2) performance of response work by defendants at the Site consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (as amended) ("NCP").
- C. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), on September 28, 2000 EPA notified the federal and state natural resource trustees (National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration U.S. Department of Interior, and California Department of Fish and Game,

respectively) of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to the natural resources under Federal and State trusteeship and encouraged the trustees to participate in the negotiations.

- D. Settling Defendants (as defined below) do not admit any liability arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaint, nor do they acknowledge that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site constitutes an imminent or substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.
- E. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Site on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on October 15, 1984, 49 Fed. Reg. 19480.
- F. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of hazardous substances at or from the Site, a group of potentially responsible parties commenced in September 1993, a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the Site pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430. EPA took over the Feasibility Study in December 1996.
- G. The group completed the Remedial Investigation Report in May 1997 and EPA completed the Feasibility Study Report in May 1997.
  - H. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA

published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action on January 28, 1998, in a major local newspaper of general circulation.

EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.

- I. The decision by EPA on the remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in a Interim Record of Decision ("Interim ROD"), executed on September 30, 1998, to which DTSC has given its concurrence. The Interim ROD includes summaries of EPA's response to public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(b).
- J. On September 28, 2000, EPA issued Special Notice letters to 56 persons or companies it had identified as potentially responsible parties at the Site, including Performing Settling Defendant's predecessor, TRW Inc., requesting that the named potentially responsible parties submit a collective "good faith offer" to perform the selected remedial action at the Site and to reimburse EPA for its past costs in the amount of fifteen million one hundred ninety five thousand sixty-two dollars and ninety cents (\$15,195,062.90). In anticipation of EPA's Special Notice letters, Performing Settling Defendant had attempted to organize the potentially responsible parties at the Site into a cooperative group that would be able to make

a good faith offer. Ultimately, approximately two dozen potentially responsible parties reached agreement with Performing Settling Defendant to participate in a collective good faith offer to EPA.

- K. On December 4, 2000, Performing Settling Defendant responded to EPA's Special Notice letter in its own name and in the names of the approximately two dozen other named potentially responsible parties, with a collective good faith offer. Because the group of parties led by Performing Settling Defendant did not include many of the named potentially responsible parties at the Site, the good faith offer was to perform a portion of the remedial action and to pay a portion of EPA's past costs. Following several rounds of negotiations, in early 2001 Performing Settling Defendant agreed to perform the intermediate zone component of the remedial action; certain other potentially responsible parties agreed to contribute financially to the costs of the intermediate zone work; and certain other potentially responsible parties agreed to pay EPA ten million dollars (\$10 million) in past costs.
- L. Pursuant to the agreement set forth in Paragraph K. above, Performing Settling Defendant promptly began work to design the intermediate zone remedial action. With EPA's concurrence, it was decided that the potentially responsible parties paying EPA ten million dollars (\$10 million) in past costs would negotiate a separate consent decree. That consent decree, *United States v. Acorn Engineering Company et al.*, Civil Action No. 03-5470-ABC (FMOx)(C.D.

Cal.), was lodged with this court on July 31, 2003, and entered by the court on September 8, 2005.

M. On March 21, 2002, EPA issued Unilateral Administrative Order No. 2002-06 ("Order") to Performing Settling Defendant formally directing the company to perform the intermediate zone remedial action. On March 29, 2002, Performing Settling Defendant confirmed in writing that it would continue to implement the intermediate zone remedial action in compliance with the Order, in its own name and in the names of numerous other potentially responsible parties that had reached settlements to contribute financially to the performance of the work. Performing Settling Defendant has continued to perform the intermediate zone remedial action in compliance with the Order and will continue to do so until the Order is superseded by this Consent Decree.

N. Performing Settling Defendant represents that between 2002 and June 30, 2007, it incurred costs in excess of seven million dollars (\$7 million) to implement the intermediate zone remedial action in compliance with the Order, pending negotiations of this Consent Decree. The work performed by Performing Settling Defendant during this period included, without limitation, installation of groundwater monitoring wells, collection and analysis of groundwater samples, groundwater modeling to support remedial design activities, the design of the extraction well network, construction of a portion of the extraction well network, and related project management activities.

- O. On or about April 12, 1989, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, issued to TRW Inc. Cleanup and Abatement Order No. 89-034 ("Board Order"). The Board Order remains in effect and is currently applicable to Performing Settling Defendant, the corporate successor to TRW Inc. The Board Order requires Performing Settling Defendant to perform remedial activities in the shallow zone of the Site south of Puente Creek.
- P. On June 14, 2005, EPA issued an Explanation of Significant Differences ("ESD") modifying the Interim ROD. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 300.435(c)(2)(i) and 300.825(a)(2), EPA made the ESD available to the public by publishing a notice summarizing the ESD in a major local newspaper of general circulation, by adding the ESD to the Administrative Record, and by making the Administrative Record available to the public at local depositories.
- Q. Based on the information presently available to EPA and DTSC, EPA and DTSC believe that the Work (as defined below) will be properly and promptly conducted by Performing Settling Defendant if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and its appendices.
- R. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(j), the Remedial Action selected by the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD, and the Work to be performed by Performing Settling Defendant, shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.
  - S. The Parties (as defined below) recognize, and the Court by entering

this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will facilitate the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

### II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaint, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendants shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

## III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States and DTSC and upon Settling Defendants. Any change in ownership or corporate status of a Settling Defendant including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter such Settling Defendant's responsibilities under this Consent Decree.

3. Performing Settling Defendant shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to each contractor hired to perform the Work (as defined below) required by this Consent Decree and to each person representing Performing Settling Defendant with respect to the Site or the Work and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant or its contractors shall provide written notice of the Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the Work required by this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that its contractors and subcontractors perform the Work contemplated herein in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with Performing Settling Defendant within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this

Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under

CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such

regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in

the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following

definitions shall apply:

"Affiliate" shall mean a person or entity designated as such at Appendix H, but only to the extent that the potential liability of such person or entity arises with regard to the Site and derives from that person's or entity's relationship to or affiliation with a Settling Defendant and not from an independent basis of liability under CERCLA, Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). In addition, the term Affiliate shall include: (i) where the Settling Defendant is a corporate entity, its corporate successors, officers, and directors acting in their capacities as such; (ii) where the Settling Defendant is a partnership, its partners, acting in their capacities as such; (iii) where the Settling Defendant is an individual, that individual's heirs and beneficiaries; (iv) where the Settling Defendant is a trust, that trust's trustees and beneficiaries, acting in their capacities as such; but only to the extent that the potential liability of such person or entity derives from that person's or entity's relationship to or affiliation with a Settling Defendant and not from an independent basis of liability under CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

"ARARs" shall mean the applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements or "ARARs" set forth in the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD.

"Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, including accrued Interest, that the United States or DTSC has incurred or in the future incurs for basin-wide (non-operable unit) response actions in connection with the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, Areas

27 28

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq.

"Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXIX). In the event of conflict between this Consent Decree and any appendix, this Consent Decree shall control.

"Contributing Settling Defendants" shall mean all those Parties identified in Appendix F and their Affiliates.

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working "Working Day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

"DHS" shall mean the former California Department of Health Services and any successor departments or agencies.

"DOJ" shall mean the United States Department of Justice and any of its successor departments, agencies, or instrumentalities.

"DTSC" shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control and any successor departments or agencies.

"Effective Date" shall be the effective date of this Consent Decree as provided in Paragraph 108.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any of its successor departments or agencies.

"Explanation of Significant Differences" or "ESD" shall mean the Explanation of Significant differences relating to the Site issued by EPA on June 14, 2005. The ESD is attached as Appendix B to this Consent Decree.

"Future DTSC Response Costs" shall mean all costs that are incurred by DTSC for response actions with respect to the Site after the Effective Date, but prior to the later of: (i) the date eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date, or (ii) the date of issuance of a final Record of Decision for the Site. Future DTSC Response Costs include, but are not limited to, Basin-wide Response Costs allocated to the Site, direct and indirect costs and accrued Interest that DTSC incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including but not limited to payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs incurred pursuant to Sections VII (Remedy Review) and XV (Emergency Response).

"Future Response Costs" shall mean all costs that are incurred by the United States or any third party for response actions with respect to the Site after the Effective Date, but prior to the later of: (i) the date eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date, or (ii) the date of issuance of a final Record of Decision for the Site. Future Response Costs include, but are not limited to,

Basin-wide Response Costs allocated to the Site, direct and indirect costs and accrued Interest that the United States incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including but not limited to payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs incurred pursuant to Sections VII (Remedy Review), IX (Access and Institutional Controls) (including but not limited to the cost of attorney time and any monies paid to secure access or to secure or implement institutional controls including but not limited to the amount of just compensation), XV (Emergency Response), and Paragraph 89 of Section XXI (Work Takeover).

"Identified Property" shall mean the real property identified for each Owner Settling Defendant in Appendix G.

"Interest," shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

"Interim ROD" shall mean the Interim Record of Decision relating to the Puente Valley Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites signed on September 30, 1998 by the Regional Administrator, EPA Region 9, or his/her

delegate, and all attachments thereto. The Interim ROD is attached as Appendix A to this Consent Decree.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, and codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

"Operational and Functional" shall mean that the Remedial Action has been constructed and that it is performing in accordance with the applicable SOW and the applicable final Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans and other plans approved by EPA.

"Operational and Functional Date" shall mean the date that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional pursuant to Paragraph 49.

"Owner Settling Defendant" shall mean each Settling Defendant identified in Appendix G with respect to the Identified Property associated with such Settling Defendant in such Appendix.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States, DTSC, and Settling Defendants.

"Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs including but not limited to
Basin-wide Response Costs allocated to the Site, direct and indirect costs,
including Interest, that the United States or any third party has paid or incurred at

or in connection with the Site, through and including the Effective Date.

"Past DTSC Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including but not limited to direct and indirect costs, and Basin-wide Response Costs allocated to the Site, including Interest, that DTSC has paid or incurred at or in connection with the Site, through and including the Effective Date.

"Performance Criteria" shall mean the prevention of groundwater in the intermediate zone with contamination greater than or equal to the levels listed in Table 2 of the ESD from:

- 1. migrating beyond its lateral extent as measured at the time the intermediate zone Remedial Action containment system is Operational and Functional; and
- 2. migrating vertically into the deep zone; for a period of eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date.

"Performing Settling Defendant" shall mean Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp., and its corporate predecessors and affiliates TRW Inc. and Lucas Western LLC (formerly, Western Gear Corporation), (collectively, Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp.)

"Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and DTSC.

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 *et seq.* (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Remedial Action" shall mean those activities to be undertaken by

Performing Settling Defendant to implement the intermediate zone remedy, in
accordance with the Interim ROD as modified by the ESD, the applicable SOW,

and the applicable RD/RA Work Plan and other plans approved by EPA.

"Remedial Design" shall mean those activities to be undertaken by

Performing Settling Defendant to develop the final plans and specifications for the

Remedial Action pursuant to the RD/RA Work Plan.

"Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan" or "RD/RA Work Plan" shall mean the document developed pursuant to Paragraph 11 of this Consent Decree and approved by EPA, and any amendments thereto.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

"Settling Defendants" shall mean Performing Settling Defendant and Contributing Settling Defendants.

"Site" shall mean the area of groundwater contamination in Los Angeles County, California, located in the geographic area designated on the National Priorities List as the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site, Area 4 [see 49 Fed. Reg. 19480 (1984)], and identified as the Puente Valley Operable Unit.

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the Remedial Design and Remedial Action at the Site, as set forth in Appendix D to this Consent Decree and any modifications made in accordance with this Consent Decree.

"Supervising Contractor" shall mean the principal contractor retained by the Performing Settling Defendant to supervise and direct the implementation of the

Work under this Consent Decree.

"Unilateral Administrative Order No. 2002-06" or "UAO Docket No. 2002-06" shall mean the order issued by EPA to TRW, Inc. on or about March 21, 2002, which order is currently applicable to Performing Settling Defendant as the corporate successor to TRW, Inc.

"United States" shall mean the United States of America.

"Waste Material" shall mean: (i) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (ii) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (iii) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27); and (iv) any "hazardous substance" under the California Hazardous Substance Account Act, California Health and Safety Code § 25316.

"Work" shall mean all activities Performing Settling Defendant is required to perform under this Consent Decree, except those required by Section XXV (Retention of Records).

# V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

5. Objectives of the Parties. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the environment at the Site by the implementation of response actions at the Site by Performing Settling Defendant, to reimburse response costs of the Plaintiffs, and to resolve the claims of Plaintiffs against Settling Defendants as provided in this Consent

6. Commitments by Settling Defendants. Performing Settling Defendant shall finance and perform the Remedial Action in accordance with this Consent Decree, the Interim ROD as modified by the ESD, the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth herein or developed by Performing Settling Defendant, on behalf of all Settling Defendants, and approved by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, pursuant to this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant shall reimburse the United States and DTSC for their respective costs as provided herein. Settling Defendants shall provide access as provided in this Consent Decree.

7. Compliance With Applicable Law. All activities undertaken by Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Performing Settling Defendant must also comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all federal and state environmental laws as set forth in the Interim ROD as modified by the ESD, and the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

# 8. Permits.

a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §

9621(e), and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-Site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination or in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of the Work). Where any portion of the Work that is not on-Site requires a federal or state permit or approval, Performing Settling Defendant shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.

- b. Performing Settling Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for the Work.
- c. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.
  - 9. Notice to Successors-in-Title.

Each Owner Settling Defendant as to its Identified Property, shall, within fifteen (15) Days after the entry of this Consent Decree, record a notice of the entry of this Consent Decree, with the Recorder's Office, Los Angeles County, State of California. Such notice shall provide that any person who subsequently acquires any interest in the Identified Property or any portion thereof shall be subject to the provisions of Sections 101(40) and 107(r) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.§§ 9601(40) and 9607(r). Thereafter and continuing until EPA issues a notice of

# VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY PERFORMING SETTLING $$\operatorname{\underline{DEFENDANT}}$$

# 10. <u>Selection of Supervising Contractor.</u>

a. All aspects of the Work to be performed by Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work by Performing Settling Defendant), VII (Remedy Review), VIII (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis), and XV (Emergency Response) of this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of the Supervising Contractor. Performing Settling Defendant has selected Camp Dresser and McKee Inc. ("CDM") as its Supervising Contractor and EPA has issued an authorization to proceed to CDM. If at any time Performing Settling Defendant proposes to change its Supervising Contractor, Performing Settling Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and

DTSC and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and DTSC in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be the new Supervising Contractor. With respect to any contractor proposed to be the new Supervising Contractor, Performing Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the proposed contractor has a quality system that complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs," (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), by submitting a copy of the proposed contractor's Quality Management Plan ("QMP"). The QMP should be prepared in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)" (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA will issue a notice of disapproval or an authorization to proceed.

b. If EPA disapproves a proposed Supervising Contractor, EPA will notify Performing Settling Defendant in writing. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and DTSC a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, that would be acceptable to them within thirty (30) Days of receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other

 contractors. Performing Settling Defendant may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA and DTSC of the name of the contractor selected within twenty-one (21) Days of EPA's authorization to proceed.

c. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents

Performing Settling Defendant from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) hereof.

## 11. Remedial Design and Remedial Action.

- a. A Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan has been submitted by Performing Settling Defendant and approved by EPA.
- b. Performing Settling Defendant shall implement the RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the schedule therein. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and DTSC all plans, submittals and other deliverables required under the approved RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the approved schedule for review and approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions).
- c. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and DTSC a Health and Safety Plan for field activities required by the RD/RA Work Plan which conforms to the applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration

and EPA requirements including, but not limited to, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.120.

- d. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and DTSC all plans, submittals, or other deliverables required under the approved RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the approved schedule for review and approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions).
- 12. Performing Settling Defendant shall continue to implement the Remedial Action for a period of eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date.

## 13. <u>Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans</u>.

- a. If EPA determines that modification to the Work specified in the SOW or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW is necessary to achieve and maintain the Performance Criteria or to avoid exceeding applicable drinking water standards (including DHS 97-005 permit limits) or discharge ARARs, as set forth in the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD, EPA may require, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, that such modification be incorporated in the SOW or such work plans, provided, however, that a modification may only be required pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that it is consistent with the scope of the intermediate zone remedy selected in the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD.
- b. For the purposes of this Paragraph 13 only, the "scope of the intermediate zone remedy selected in the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD"

is: (i) the achievement of the Performance Criteria; (ii) compliance with applicable drinking water standards (including DHS 97-005 permit limits) or discharge ARARs; and (iii) all work necessary to bring the containment system to the point of being Operational & Functional.

- c. If Performing Settling Defendant objects to: (i) any modification determined by EPA to be necessary pursuant to this Paragraph; (ii) any response actions determined by EPA to be necessary pursuant to the SOW to come back into compliance with the Performance Criteria or to meet applicable drinking water standards (including DHS 97-005 permit limits) or discharge ARARs; or (iii) any response actions that are necessary where EPA has determined pursuant to the SOW that it is more likely than not that the Performance Criteria, the applicable drinking water standards (including DHS 97-005 permit limits) or the treatment plant discharge ARARs, will be exceeded if such actions are not undertaken, it may seek dispute resolution pursuant to Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 67 (Record Review). The SOW or related work plans shall be modified in accordance with final resolution of the dispute.
- d. Performing Settling Defendant shall implement any work required by any modifications incorporated in or added to the SOW or work plans developed pursuant to the SOW in accordance with this Paragraph.
- e. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit EPA's or DTSC's authority to require performance of further response actions as otherwise

provided in this Consent Decree.

- 14. Performing Settling Defendant acknowledges and agrees that nothing in this Consent Decree, the SOW, or the RD/RA Work Plan constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by Plaintiffs that compliance with the work requirements set forth in the SOW and the RD/RA Work Plan will achieve the Performance Criteria.
- 15. Performing Settling Defendant shall, prior to any off-Site shipment of Waste Material to an out-of-state waste management facility, provide written notification to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving facility's state and to the EPA Project Coordinator and to DTSC's Project Manager of such shipment of Waste Material. However, this notification requirement shall not apply to any off-Site shipments when the total volume of all such shipments will not exceed 10 cubic yards.
- (1) Performing Settling Defendant shall include in the written notification the following information, where available: (i) the name and location of the facility to which the Waste Material is to be shipped; (ii) the type and quantity of the Waste Material to be shipped; (iii) the expected schedule for the shipment of the Waste Material; and (iv) the method of transportation.

  Performing Settling Defendant shall notify the state in which the planned receiving facility is located of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to another facility within the same state, or to a

6

4

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

26

23 24 25

27 28 facility in another state.

**(2)** The identity of the receiving facility and state will be determined by Performing Settling Defendant following the award of the contract for Remedial Action construction.

Performing Settling Defendant shall provide the information required by this Subparagraph as soon as practicable after the award of the contract and before the Waste Material is actually shipped.

Before shipping any hazardous substances, i) pollutants, or contaminants to an off-Site receiving facility, Performing Settling Defendant shall obtain EPA's certification that the proposed receiving facility is operating in compliance with the requirements of CERCLA Section 121(d)(3) and 40 C.F.R. § 300.440. Performing Settling Defendant shall only send hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants to an off-Site receiving facility that complies with the requirements of the statutory provision and regulations cited in the preceding sentence.

## VII. <u>REMEDY REVIEW</u>

Periodic Review. Until such time as EPA issues a Certification of 16. Completion of the Remedial Action pursuant to Paragraph 50, Performing Settling Defendant shall conduct studies and investigations, consistent with EPA's June 2001 "Comprehensive Five-Year Review Guidance," OSWER No. 9355.7-03B-P, as modified or amended by any subsequent guidance, as determined by EPA to be

necessary for EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Remedial Action is protective of human health and the environment, at least every five (5) years after commencement of the Remedial Action, as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and any applicable regulations.

- 17. EPA Selection of Further Response Actions. If EPA determines, after consultation with DTSC, at any time, that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may select further response actions for the Site in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA and the NCP (including any state notice and participation requirements contained therein).
- 18. Opportunity To Comment. Settling Defendants, and, if required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, the public, will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA and to submit written comments for the record during the comment period.

## VIII. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS

19. Performing Settling Defendant shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures for all treatability, design, compliance and monitoring samples in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/R5)" (EPA/240/B-01/003, March 2001) "Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/G-5)" (EPA/600/R-98/018, February 1998), and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon notification by EPA

to Performing Settling Defendant of such amendment. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such notification. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, a Quality Assurance Project Plan ("QAPP") that is consistent with the SOW, the NCP and applicable guidance documents. If relevant to the proceeding, the Parties agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the QAPP(s) and reviewed and approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding under this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant shall ensure that EPA and DTSC personnel and their authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to all laboratories utilized by Performing Settling Defendant in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, Performing Settling Defendant shall ensure that such laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by EPA pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. Performing Settling Defendant shall ensure that the laboratories it utilizes for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods. Accepted EPA methods consist of those methods which are documented in the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis" and the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis," dated February 1988, and any amendments made thereto during the

28

course of the implementation of this Consent Decree; however, upon approval by EPA, after opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, Performing Settling Defendant may use other analytical methods which are as stringent as or more stringent than the CLP-approved methods. Performing Settling Defendant shall ensure that all laboratories it uses for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent QA/QC program. Performing Settling Defendant shall only use laboratories that have a documented Quality System which complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs," (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), and "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)," (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA may consider laboratories accredited under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program ("NELAP") as meeting the Quality System requirements. Performing Settling Defendant shall ensure that all field methodologies utilized in collecting samples for subsequent analysis pursuant to this Decree will be conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the QAPP approved by EPA.

20. Upon request, Performing Settling Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA and DTSC or their authorized representatives. Performing Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and DTSC not

unless shorter notice is agreed to by EPA. In addition, EPA and DTSC shall have the right to take any additional samples that EPA or DTSC deem necessary. Upon request, EPA and DTSC shall allow Performing Settling Defendant to take split or duplicate samples of any samples they take as part of the Plaintiffs' oversight of Performing Settling Defendant's implementation of the Work. EPA will provide Performing Settling Defendant copies of validated split sampling results.

less than twenty-eight (28) Days in advance of any sample collection activity

- 21. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and DTSC the results of all sampling and/or tests or other data obtained or generated by or on behalf of Performing Settling Defendant on or after lodging of the Consent Decree with respect to the Site and/or the implementation of this Consent Decree, unless EPA agrees otherwise. Performing Settling Defendant shall also provide one copy of such results to any party performing work at the Site at the direction of EPA who is obligated or directed to provide substantially the same reports to Performing Settling Defendant, unless EPA agrees otherwise.
- 22. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC hereby retain all of their information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

## IX. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS

23. If access or land or water use restrictions are needed to implement

response actions at the Site on any Identified Property, each Owner Settling

Defendant as to its Identified Property shall:

- a. Provide: (i) the United States; (ii) DTSC; (iii) Performing Settling Defendant; and (iv) persons performing response actions under EPA's direction, together with their respective representatives and contractors, with access at all reasonable times to the Identified Property, for the purpose of conducting any activity related to the Site including, but not limited to, the following activities:
  - (1) Monitoring the Work;
- (2) Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States or DTSC;
- (3) Conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the Site;
  - (4) Obtaining samples;
- (5) Assessing the need for planning or implementing additional response actions at or near the Site;
- (6) Assessing implementation of quality assurance and quality control practices as defined in the approved Quality Assurance Project Plans;
- (7) Implementing the Work including implementation pursuant to conditions set forth in Paragraph 89 of this Consent Decree;

- (8) Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by Settling Defendants or their agents, consistent with Section XXIV (Access to Information);
- (9) Assessing Performing Settling Defendant's compliance with this Consent Decree; and
- (10) To the extent not otherwise authorized pursuant to (1) through (9) above, (a) installing, maintaining and sampling monitoring wells and conducting activities related to the installation, maintenance and sampling of such wells; (b) installing and maintaining pipelines and conducting activities related to the installation and maintenance of such pipelines; and (c) conducting source remediation.
- b. An Owner Settling Defendant's obligations under this
  Paragraph 23, as to an Identified Property, shall terminate when such Owner
  Settling Defendant no longer has an interest in the Identified Property or when
  EPA issues a notice of completion for the final remedy for the Site, whichever
  occurs first.
- 24. For any property where access and/or land/water use restrictions are needed to implement this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall use best efforts to secure from such persons with respect to such property:
- a. an agreement to provide access thereto for the following entities and for their respective representatives and contractors: (i) the United

States; including EPA; (ii) DTSC; (iii) Performing Settling Defendant; and (iv) persons performing response actions under EPA's direction, all for the purpose of conducting any activity related to any response action at the Site, including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 23.a of this Consent Decree;

- b. an agreement, enforceable by Performing Settling Defendant and the United States, to refrain from using such other property, in any manner that would interfere with or adversely affect the implementation, integrity, or protectiveness of remedial measures taken at the Site; and
- c. the execution and recordation in the Recorder's Office of Los Angeles County, State of California, of an easement, running with the land, that:

  (i) grants a right of access for the purpose of conducting any activity related to the Site including, but not limited to, all treatment facilities, pipelines, and wells used to implement the Work as well as those activities listed in Paragraph 23.a of this Consent Decree, and (ii) grants the right to enforce the land/water use restrictions listed in Paragraph 23.b of this Consent Decree, or other restrictions that EPA determines are necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of remedial measures taken at the Site. The access rights and/or rights to enforce land/water use restrictions shall be granted to one or more of the following entities and to their respective representatives and contractors, as determined by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC: (i) the United States, including EPA; (ii) DTSC; (iii) Performing Settling

Defendant; (iv) persons performing response actions under EPA's direction; and/or (v) other appropriate grantees, as determined by EPA. Within forty-five (45) Days of approval of the final Remedial Design, Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA for review and approval with respect to such property:

- (1) A draft easement, in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix E, that is enforceable under the laws of the State of California, and
- evidence of title acceptable to EPA, which shows title to the land described in the easement to be free and clear of all prior liens and encumbrances that could impact the implementation of the Work (except when those liens or encumbrances are approved by EPA or when, despite best efforts, Performing Settling Defendant is unable to obtain release or subordination of such prior liens or encumbrances).

Within fifteen (15) Days of EPA's approval and acceptance of the easement and the title evidence, Performing Settling Defendant shall update the title search and, if it is determined that nothing has occurred since the effective date of the commitment to affect the title adversely, the easement shall be recorded with the Recorder's Office of Los Angeles County. Within thirty (30) Days of the recording of the easement, Performing Settling Defendant shall provide EPA with a final title insurance policy, or other final evidence of title acceptable to EPA, and

if applicable DTSC, and a certified copy of the original recorded easement showing the clerk's recording stamps, with copies of such documents to DTSC. If an easement is to be conveyed to the United States, the easement and title evidence (including final title evidence) shall be prepared in accordance with the U.S. Department of Justice Title Standards 2001, and approval of the sufficiency of title must be obtained as required by 40 U.S.C. § 255. Nothing in this Paragraph commits or requires DTSC to accept an interest in real property for which it must record a certificate of acceptance pursuant to California Government Code Section 27281.

- 25. After a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, EPA may determine, in its unreviewable discretion, that the requirements of Paragraph 24 are not necessary because an existing administrative order, agreement or consent decree provides adequate access to address future response actions anticipated at the Site.
- 26. For purposes of Paragraph 24 of this Consent Decree, "best efforts" includes the payment of reasonable sums of money in consideration of access, access easements, land or water use restrictions, restrictive easements, or an agreement to release or subordinate a prior lien or encumbrance. If: (a) any access or land or water use restriction agreements required by Paragraphs 24.a or 24.b of this Consent Decree are not obtained within forty-five (45) Days of the date of approval of the final Remedial Design; (b) any access easements or

restrictive easements required by Paragraph 24.c of this Consent Decree are not submitted to EPA in draft form within forty-five (45) Days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree or approval of the final Remedial Design, whichever is later; or (c) Performing Settling Defendant is unable to obtain an agreement required pursuant to Paragraph 24.c from the holder of a prior lien or encumbrance to release or subordinate such lien or encumbrance to the easement being created pursuant to this Consent Decree within forty-five (45) Days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree or approval of the final Remedial Design, whichever is later, Performing Settling Defendant shall promptly notify the United States in writing, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that Performing Settling Defendant has taken to attempt to comply with Paragraph 24 of this Consent Decree. The United States or DTSC may, as they deem appropriate, assist Performing Settling Defendant in obtaining access or land/water use restrictions, either in the form of contractual agreements or in the form of easements running with the land, or in obtaining the release or subordination of a prior lien or encumbrance. Performing Settling Defendant shall reimburse the United States or DTSC in accordance with the procedures in Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs), for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States or DTSC in obtaining such access, land/water use restrictions, and/or the release/subordination of prior liens or encumbrances including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and the amount of monetary consideration paid

or just compensation.

- 27. If EPA determines that land or water use restrictions in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances or other governmental controls are needed to implement the Remedial Action, or ensure non-interference therewith, Performing Settling Defendant shall cooperate with EPA's and DTSC's efforts to secure such governmental controls.
- 28. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all of their access authorities and rights, as well as all of their rights to require land or water use restrictions, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statute or regulations.

## X. <u>REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u>

29. In addition to any other requirement of this Consent Decree,
Performing Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA, DTSC, and any party
performing work at the Site at the direction of EPA, written bi-monthly progress
reports that: (a) describe the actions which have been taken toward achieving
compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous two months; (b) include
a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or
generated by Performing Settling Defendant or its contractors or agents in the
previous two months; (c) identify all work plans, plans and other deliverables
required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous two

2

months; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, which are scheduled for the next six weeks and provide other information relating to the progress of construction, including but not limited to critical path diagrams, Gantt charts and Pert charts; (e) include information regarding the percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of the Work, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any modifications to the work plans or other schedules that Performing Settling Defendant has proposed to EPA or that have been approved by EPA; and (g) describe all activities undertaken in support of the Community Relations Plan during the previous two months and those to be undertaken in the next six weeks. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit these progress reports to EPA, DTSC and any party performing work at the Site at the direction of EPA, by the tenth (10th) Day of every second month following the lodging of this Consent Decree until EPA approves the Final Construction Inspection Report. If requested by EPA or DTSC, Performing Settling Defendant shall also provide briefings for EPA and DTSC to discuss the progress of the Work. After EPA approves the Final Construction Inspection Report, Performing Settling Defendant shall submit Quarterly Compliance Monitoring Reports and Annual Performance Evaluation Reports pursuant to the SOW. Performing Settling Defendant shall provide one copy of the bi-monthly progress reports and

9 10

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 one copy of the Quarterly Compliance Monitoring Reports and the Annual Performance Evaluation Reports to any party performing work at the Site under the direction of EPA who is obligated or directed to provide substantially the same reports to Performing Settling Defendant.

- 30. Performing Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and DTSC of any significant change in the schedule described in the bi-monthly progress reports, Quarterly Compliance Monitoring Reports and Annual Performance Evaluation Reports for the performance of any activity, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, no later than seven (7) Days prior to the performance of the activity.
- 31. Upon the occurrence of any event during performance of the Work that Performing Settling Defendant is required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603, or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11004, Performing Settling Defendant shall within twenty-four (24) hours of the onset of such event orally notify the EPA Project Coordinator or the Alternate EPA Project Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of the EPA Project Coordinator), or, in the event that neither the EPA Project Coordinator or Alternate EPA Project Coordinator is available, the Emergency Response Section, Region 9, United States Environmental Protection Agency. Immediately following notice to EPA, the Performing Settling Defendant shall orally notify the DTSC Project Manager.

These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by Section 103 of CERCLA or Section 304 of EPCRA.

- 32. Within twenty (20) Days of the onset of such an event, Performing Settling Defendant shall furnish to Plaintiffs a written report, signed by the Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator, setting forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within thirty (30) Days of the conclusion of such an event, Performing Settling Defendant shall submit a report to EPA and DTSC setting forth all actions taken in response thereto.
- 33. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit four (4) copies of all plans, reports, and data required by the SOW, the RD/RA Work Plan, or any other approved plans to EPA in accordance with the schedules set forth in such plans. Performing Settling Defendant shall simultaneously submit three (3) copies of all such plans, reports and data to DTSC. Performing Settling Defendant shall also submit in electronic form (e.g., on compact disc) all portions of any report or other deliverable Performing Settling Defendant is required to submit pursuant to the provisions of this Consent Decree.
- 34. All reports and other documents submitted by Performing Settling
  Defendant to EPA and DTSC (other than the bi-monthly progress reports referred
  to above) which purport to document Performing Settling Defendant's compliance
  with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be signed by an authorized

representative of Performing Settling Defendant.

#### XI. EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS

- 35. After review of any plan, report or other item that is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, shall: (a) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (b) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the submission to cure the deficiencies; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission, directing that the Performing Settling Defendant modify the submission; or (e) any combination of the above. However, EPA shall not modify a submission without first providing Performing Settling Defendant at least one (1) notice of deficiency and an opportunity to cure within twenty-one (21) Days or such longer period as EPA determines to be reasonable, except where to do so would cause serious disruption to the Work or where a previous submission or submissions have been disapproved due to material defects, and the deficiencies in the submission or submissions under consideration are due to a bad faith lack of effort to submit an acceptable deliverable.
- 36. In the event of approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by EPA, pursuant to Paragraph 35(a), (b), or (c), Performing Settling Defendant shall proceed to take any action required by the plan, report, or other item as approved or modified by EPA, subject only to its right to invoke dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the

 modifications or conditions made by EPA. In the event that EPA modifies the submission to cure deficiencies pursuant to Paragraph 35(c) and the submission had a material defect, EPA retains its right to seek Stipulated Penalties, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

#### 37. Resubmission of Plans.

- a. Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 35(d), Performing Settling Defendant shall, within twenty-one (21) Days or such longer time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other item for approval, with copies to DTSC. Any Stipulated Penalties applicable to the submission, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties), shall accrue during the 21-day period or otherwise specified period but shall not be payable unless the resubmission is disapproved or modified due to a material defect as provided in Paragraphs 38 and 39.
- b. Notwithstanding the receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 35(d), Performing Settling Defendant shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission. Implementation of any non-deficient portion of a submission shall not relieve Performing Settling Defendant of any liability for Stipulated Penalties under Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).
- 38. In the event that a resubmitted plan, report, or other item, or portion thereof, is disapproved by EPA, EPA may again require Performing Settling

Defendant to correct the deficiencies, in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs. EPA also retains the right to modify or develop the plan, report, or other item. Performing Settling Defendant shall implement any such plan, report, or item as modified or developed by EPA, subject only to their right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

- 39. If upon resubmission, a plan, report, or item is disapproved or modified by EPA due to a material defect, Performing Settling Defendant shall be deemed to have failed to submit such plan, report, or item timely and adequately, unless Performing Settling Defendant invokes the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), and EPA's action is overturned pursuant to that Section. The provisions of Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XX (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the implementation of the Work and accrual and payment of any Stipulated Penalties during Dispute Resolution. If EPA's disapproval or modification is upheld, Stipulated Penalties shall accrue for such violation from the date on which the initial submission was originally required, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).
- 40. All plans, reports, and other items required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree shall simultaneously be submitted to DTSC. Upon approval or modification by EPA, all such plans, reports, and other items shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree. In the event EPA approves or modifies a portion of a plan, report, or other item required to be submitted to EPA under this

26

27

28

1

2

3

Consent Decree, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree.

#### XII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

- 41. Within twenty (20) Days of lodging this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant, EPA and DTSC will notify each other, in writing, of the name, address and telephone number of their respective designated Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Parties at least five (5) Working Days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall be subject to disapproval by EPA and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the Work. Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall not be an attorney for the Performing Settling Defendant in this matter. He or she may assign other representatives, including other contractors, to serve as a Site representative for oversight of performance of daily operations during remedial activities.
- 42. Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA and DTSC employees, and federal and state contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of the Work undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project

 Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager ("RPM") and an On-Scene Coordinator ("OSC") by the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. In addition, EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the National Contingency Plan, to halt any Work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when s/he determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.

43. EPA's Project Coordinator and Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator will meet in person or confer telephonically on a monthly basis unless EPA determines that less frequent meetings or conferences are required. Performing Settling Defendant shall give advance notice to DTSC, and upon DTSC's request, DTSC may participate in such meetings and conferences.

## XIII. <u>ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK</u>

- 44. Within thirty (30) Days of entry of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall establish and maintain financial security in the amount of twenty-one million dollars (\$21 million) in one or more of the following forms:
  - a. A surety bond guaranteeing performance of the Work;
- b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit equaling twenty-one million dollars (\$21 million);

- c. A trust fund;
- d. A guarantee to perform the Work by one or more parent corporations or subsidiaries, or by one or more unrelated corporations that have a substantial business relationship with Performing Settling Defendant;
- e. A demonstration that Performing Settling Defendant satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). For purposes of this Paragraph, references in 40 C.F.R Part 264.143(f) to the "sum of current closure and post-closure costs estimates and the current plugging and abandonment costs estimates" shall mean the amount of financial security specified above; or
  - f. An insurance policy in form and substance satisfactory to EPA.
- 45. If Performing Settling Defendant seeks to demonstrate the ability to complete the Work through a guarantee by a third party pursuant to Paragraph 44.d of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the guarantor satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). If Performing Settling Defendant seeks to demonstrate its ability to complete the Work by means of the corporate guarantee or the financial test pursuant to Paragraphs 44.d or 44.e, respectively, it shall resubmit sworn statements conveying the information required by 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f) annually, on the first (1st) day of April in each year after Performing Settling Defendant establishes such guarantee. In the event that EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the DTSC, determines at any time that the financial assurances

provided pursuant to this Section are inadequate, Performing Settling Defendant shall, within thirty (30) Days of receipt of notice of EPA's determination, obtain and present to EPA for approval one of the other forms of financial assurance listed in Paragraph 44 of this Consent Decree. Performing Settling Defendant's inability to demonstrate financial ability to complete the Work shall not excuse performance of any activities required under this Consent Decree.

46. If Performing Settling Defendant can show that the estimated cost to complete the remaining Work has diminished below the amount set forth in Paragraph 44 above after entry of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant may, on the first (1st) day of April in each year after Performing Settling Defendant establishes financial security pursuant to Paragraph 44 of this Consent Decree, or at any other time agreed to by EPA after discussion with DTSC, reduce the amount of the financial security provided under this Section to the estimated cost of the remaining Work to be performed. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit a proposal for such reduction to EPA with a copy to DTSC, in accordance with the requirements of this Section, and may reduce the amount of the security upon approval by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC. In the event of a dispute under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Performing Settling Defendant may reduce the amount of the security in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

14 15

16

17 18

21

26

27

28

47. Performing Settling Defendant may change the form of financial assurance provided under this Section at any time, upon notice to EPA and DTSC and approval by EPA, provided that the new form of assurance meets the requirements of this Section. In the event of a dispute under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Performing Settling Defendant may change the form of the financial assurance only in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

48. Performing Settling Defendant's obligation to establish and maintain financial security under this Section shall terminate upon EPA's issuance of a Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action pursuant to Paragraph 50.b of this Consent Decree.

## XIV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

#### 49. "Operational and Functional."

Within thirty (30) Days after Performing Settling Defendant a. concludes that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional, Performing Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Performing Settling Defendant, EPA and DTSC. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Performing Settling Defendant still believes that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional, it shall submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to DTSC, pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions) within thirty (30)

Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of the Performing Settling Defendant or the Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

Days of the inspection. In the report, a registered professional engineer and the

To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after completion of the pre-certification inspection and receipt and review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by DTSC, determines that the Remedial Action is not Operational and Functional, EPA will notify Performing Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree in order for the Remedial Action to be Operational and Functional. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require the Performing Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). Performing Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications

and schedules established pursuant to this Paragraph, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

- b. EPA has determined that the intermediate zone Remedial Action to be performed by Performing Settling Defendant must be coordinated with the shallow zone remedy being performed by Carrier Corporation and United Technologies Corporation pursuant to a consent decree entered on April 28, 2006 in the matter of *United States v. Carrier Corporation*, Civil Action No. 05-6022 ABC (FMOx)(C.D. Cal.)("Carrier Decree"), and that such coordination may require that the Operational and Functional date for the intermediate zone Remedial Action be no earlier than the operational and functional date for the shallow zone remedy. Therefore, notwithstanding Performing Settling Defendant's satisfaction of the requirements in Paragraph 49.a, EPA reserves the right to defer the Operational and Functional certification of the Remedial Action until the date the shallow zone remedy is certified as operational and functional pursuant to the Carrier Decree.
- c. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent report requesting certification, and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional, EPA will so certify in writing to Performing Settling Defendant. However, if the shallow zone remedy is not operational and functional at the time of this certification, the Operational and Functional Date shall be the date EPA certifies

the shallow zone remedy to be operational and functional pursuant to the Carrier Decree, unless EPA agrees to an earlier Operational and Functional Date.

- d. If EPA fails to certify that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional within ninety (90) Days after a request, EPA shall be deemed to have denied the request, unless Performing Settling Defendant agrees to an extension of time. Performing Settling Defendant may, at any time thereafter, invoke Dispute Resolution pursuant to Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) to contest EPA's denial of the certification request.
- e. Except in the case of a delayed certification as provided in Paragraph 49.c., upon approval of the certification report by EPA or pursuant to a ruling by the Court, the Operational and Functional Date shall be the date when the last report requesting certification of the Remedial Action was submitted.
- f. The Operational and Functional Date shall not be affected in the event EPA subsequently determines, pursuant to Paragraph 13, that modification to the Work specified in the SOW or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW is necessary to achieve and maintain the Performance Criteria or to meet discharge ARARs.

## 50. <u>Certification of Completion</u>.

a. No later than ninety (90) Days before, and no sooner than one hundred twenty (120) Days prior to, the eight (8) year anniversary of the Operational and Functional Date, and upon Performing Settling Defendant

concluding that the Remedial Action is still Operational and Functional, Performing Settling Defendant shall schedule a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Performing Settling Defendant, EPA, and DTSC. Performing Settling Defendant shall submit a Facility Status Package to EPA and DTSC which shall include, but not be limited to, all maintenance reports, performance reports, sampling results, and all other deliverables updated as appropriate to reflect the performance and condition of the containment system including all wells, pipelines, and treatment facilities. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Performing Settling Defendant still believes that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional, Performing Settling Defendant shall submit a written report by a registered professional engineer, in accordance with the SOW, stating that the Remedial Action is Operational and Functional. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of the Performing Settling Defendant or by the Performing Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by DTSC, determines that repairs to the containment system are needed, and/or additional documentation regarding access is needed, EPA will

notify Performing Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Performing Settling Defendant to effect such repairs and/or to provide the necessary documentation. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require Performing Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). Performing Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to their right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent request for Certification of Completion by Performing Settling Defendant that the Remedial Action is still Operational and Functional, EPA will so notify Performing Settling Defendant in writing. This notification shall constitute the Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action for purposes of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs). Performing Settling Defendant shall within thirty (30) days from EPA's Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action send each Settling Defendant written notice of such certification.

#### XV. <u>EMERGENCY RESPONSE</u>

51. In the event of any action or occurrence caused by or related to the

28

performance of the Work which causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Performing Settling Defendant shall, subject to Paragraph 52, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall immediately notify EPA's Project Coordinator, or, if the Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator. If neither of these persons is available, Performing Settling Defendant shall notify the EPA Emergency Response Unit, Region 9. Immediately following notice to EPA, Performing Settling Defendant shall orally notify the DTSC Project Manager. Performing Settling Defendant shall take such actions in consultation with EPA's Project Coordinator or other available authorized EPA officer and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the SOW. In the event that Performing Settling Defendant fails to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA, or as appropriate DTSC, takes such action instead, Performing Settling Defendant shall reimburse EPA and DTSC all costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP pursuant to Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs).

52. Nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States or DTSC: (i) to take all

appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, or (ii) to direct or order such action, or seek an order from the Court, to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, subject to Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs).

## XVI. PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS

- 53. Payments for Past Response Costs and Past DTSC Response Costs.
- a. Within fifteen (15) Working Days of the Effective Date,
  Performing Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA, on behalf of all Settling
  Defendants, four hundred sixty-five thousand four hundred twenty dollars and
  ninety cents (\$465,420.90) in payment for Past Response Costs.
- b. Payment by Performing Settling Defendant shall be made by FedWire Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to the U.S. Department of Justice account in accordance with current EFT procedures, referencing EPA Site/Spill ID Number 098V, DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-354/16, and the civil action number of this case. Payment shall be made in accordance with instructions provided to Performing Settling Defendant by the Financial Litigation Unit of the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California following lodging of the Consent Decree. Any payments received by DOJ after 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) will be credited on the next Working Day.

- d. At the time of payment, Performing Settling Defendant shall send notice that payment has been made to the United States, to EPA and to the Regional Accounting Contact, in accordance with Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).
- e. The total amount to be paid by Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to this Paragraph (including accrued Interest) shall be deposited in the Puente Valley Operable Unit Special Account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund. This Special Account shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites (Areas 1- 4), or may be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.
- f. Payment of Past DTSC Response Costs. Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall pay to DTSC, on behalf of all Settling Defendants, ninety thousand dollars (\$90,000) in the form of a certified check or cashier's check, in reimbursement of Past DTSC Response Costs. Performing Settling Defendant's check shall be made payable to Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control, and shall be forwarded to:

Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control Accounting Office 1001 "I" Street, 21st Floor P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95812-0806

Performing Settling Defendant shall send a transmittal letter with the check

referencing the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, Puente Valley Operable Unit, Project Code No. 300346. Performing Settling Defendant shall also send a copy of its check(s) and transmittal letter(s) to DTSC, as specified in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).

- 54. <u>Payments for Future Response Costs and Future DTSC Response</u> Costs.
- a. Performing Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA, on behalf of all Settling Defendants, that portion of Future Response Costs that the United States incurs pertaining to the Work, incurred in a manner not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan, and incurred prior to the date eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date.
- b. On approximately an annual basis, the United States will send Performing Settling Defendant a bill requiring payment that includes a certified cost summary, consisting of direct and indirect costs incurred by EPA and its contractors, and a DOJ-prepared cost summary which reflects Future Response Costs incurred by DOJ and its contractors, if any. Performing Settling Defendant shall make all payments within forty-five (45) Days of its receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in the following Paragraph. Performing Settling Defendant shall make all payments required by this Paragraph by FedWire EFT, pursuant to the instructions set forth in Paragraph 53.c, or by a certified check or cashier's check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," referencing the name and address of the party making the payment,

EPA Site/Spill ID Number 098V, DOJ Number 90-11-2-354/16, and the civil action number of this case. Performing Settling Defendant shall send the check(s) to:

US Environmental Protection Agency Superfund Payments Cincinnati Finance Center PO Box 979076 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

- c. At the time of payment, Performing Settling Defendant shall send notice that payment has been made to the United States, to EPA and to the Regional Accounting Contact, in accordance with Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).
- d. Performing Setting Defendant's payments pursuant to this
  Paragraph shall be deposited in the Puente Valley Operable Unit Special Account.
  This Special Account shall to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites (Areas 1- 4), or may be transferred by EPA from this Special Account to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.
- e. Payment of Future DTSC Response Costs. Performing Settling Defendant shall pay to DTSC all Future DTSC Response Costs that DTSC incurs with respect to the Work not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan and incurred prior to the date eight (8) years from the date the Remedial Action is certified by EPA to be Operational and Functional. On a periodic basis DTSC will

 send Performing Settling Defendant a bill requiring payment that includes a standard DTSC cost summary, which includes direct and indirect costs incurred by DTSC and its contractors. Performing Settling Defendant shall make all payments within forty-five (45) days of Performing Settling Defendant's receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in the following Paragraph.

Performing Settling Defendant shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the form of a certified check or cashier's check made payable to Cashier,

Department of Toxic Substances Control, and shall be forwarded to:

Department of Toxic Substances Control State of California Accounting Office 1001 "I" Street, 21st Floor P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95812-0806

Performing Settling Defendant shall send a transmittal letter with the check referencing the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Sites, Puente Valley Operable Unit, and billing code 301404. Performing Settling Defendant shall also send a copy of its check and transmittal letter to DTSC, as specified in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).

55. Performing Settling Defendant may request reasonable supporting documentation for any Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs within fifteen (15) Days of receipt of a bill. Performing Settling Defendant may contest payment of any Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs

27

28

under Paragraph 54 if it determines that the United States or DTSC has made an accounting error or if it alleges that a cost item that is included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP or are outside the scope of Paragraph 54. Such objection shall be made in writing within thirty (30) Days of receipt of the contested bill, or, if supporting documentation is requested, within fifteen (15) Days of receipt of the supporting documentation, and must be sent to the United States (if the United States' accounting is being disputed) or DTSC (if DTSC's accounting is being disputed) pursuant to Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an objection, Performing Settling Defendant shall, simultaneously with submitting the objection, pay all uncontested Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs in the manner described in Paragraph 54. Simultaneously, Performing Settling Defendant shall establish an interest-bearing escrow account in a federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of California and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs. Performing Settling Defendant shall send to the United States or DTSC, as provided in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions), a copy of the transmittal letter and the check remitting the uncontested Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs. together with a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the escrow

1

account, which shall include information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established, as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, Performing Settling Defendant shall initiate the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution). If the United States or DTSC prevails in the dispute, within five (5) Days of the resolution of the dispute, Performing Settling Defendant shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States or DTSC in the manner described in Paragraph 54. If Performing Settling Defendant prevails concerning any aspect of the contested costs, Performing Settling Defendant shall pay all contested costs (plus associated accrued Interest) as to which they did not prevail to the United States or DTSC in the manner described in Paragraph 54; Performing Settling Defendant shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding Performing Settling Defendant's obligation to reimburse the United States for its Future Response Costs and DTSC for Future DTSC Response Costs.

56. In the event that the payments required by Paragraph 53 are not made within fifteen (15) Working Days of the Effective Date, Performing Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance through the date of payment.

17 18 19

21 22

23

20

24 25

26 27 28

The Interest to be paid on Past Response Costs under this Paragraph shall begin to accrue on the Effective Date. In the event that the payments of Future Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs required by Paragraph 54 are not made within forty-five (45) Days of Performing Settling Defendant's receipt of the bill requiring payment, and the payments are not contested pursuant to the procedure set forth in Paragraph 55, Performing Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. Future Response Costs and Future DTSC Response Costs Interest shall begin to accrue on the date of the bill for those costs and shall accrue through the date of payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of a failure to make timely payments under this Section, including but not limited to payment of Stipulated Penalties pursuant to Paragraph 71. Performing Settling Defendant shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the manner described in Paragraph 54.

### XVII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE

- Settling Defendants' Indemnification of the United States and DTSC. 57.
- The United States and DTSC do not assume any liability by a. entering into this agreement or by virtue of any designation of Performing Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). Each Settling Defendant shall indemnify, save, and hold harmless the United States, DTSC, and their officials, agents, employees,

2

contractors, subcontractors, or representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of said Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities of said Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of Performing Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Further, each Settling Defendant agrees to pay the United States and DTSC all costs they incur including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account of, claims made against the United States or DTSC based on negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of said Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities required of said Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the United States nor DTSC shall be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of Performing Settling Defendant in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither Performing Settling Defendant nor any such contractor of Performing Settling Defendant shall be considered an agent of the United States or DTSC. For purposes of this Paragraph, neither Performing Settling Defendant nor any such contractor of Performing Settling Defendant shall be considered a person

6

12

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

26 27

28

acting on behalf of Contributing Settling Defendants.

- The United States and/or DTSC shall give any Settling b. Defendant notice of any claim for which the United States and/or DTSC plan to seek indemnification pursuant to Paragraph 57, to the Settling Defendant from which it plans to seek indemnity, and shall consult with said Settling Defendant prior to settling such claim.
- 58. Performing Settling Defendant waives all claims against the United States and DTSC for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or DTSC arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between Performing Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, Performing Settling Defendant shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and DTSC with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between Performing Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.
- 59. No later than the Effective Date, Performing Settling Defendant shall secure, and shall maintain comprehensive general liability insurance with limits of five million dollars (\$5 million), combined single limit, and automobile liability insurance with limits of two million dollars (\$2 million), combined single limit,

22

23

24

25

21

19

20

26

27 28 naming the United States and DTSC as additional insureds. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall satisfy, or shall ensure that their contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the Work on behalf of Performing Settling Defendant in furtherance of this Consent Decree. No later than the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, Performing Settling Defendant shall provide to EPA and DTSC certificates of such insurance and a copy of each insurance policy. Performing Settling Defendant shall resubmit such certificates and copies of policies each year on the anniversary of the Effective Date. If Performing Settling Defendant demonstrates by evidence satisfactory to EPA that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that contractor or subcontractor, Performing Settling Defendant need provide only that portion of the insurance described above which is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor.

# XVIII. FORCE MAJEURE

60. "Force majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of a Settling Defendant, of any entity controlled by a Settling Defendant, or of a Settling Defendants' contractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Consent

Decree despite said Settling Defendant's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The requirement that a Settling Defendant exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event:

(1) as it is occurring, and (2) following the potential force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible. With respect to Performing Settling Defendant, "Force Majeure" does not include financial inability to complete the Work or a failure to attain the Performance Criteria.

by a Settling Defendant of any obligation under this Consent Decree, whether or not caused by a force majeure event, such Settling Defendant shall notify orally EPA's Project Coordinator or, in his or her absence, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, within forty-eight (48) hours of when Settling Defendant first knew that the event might cause a delay. Immediately following notice to EPA, such Settling Defendant shall orally notify the DTSC Project Manager. Within fourteen (14) Days thereafter, such Settling Defendant shall provide in writing to EPA and DTSC an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the

delay or the effect of the delay; such Settling Defendant's rationale for attributing such delay to a force majeure event if it intends to assert such a claim; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of such Settling Defendant, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare or the environment. A Settling Defendant shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting their claim that the delay was attributable to a force majeure. Failure to comply with the above requirements shall preclude a Settling Defendant from asserting any claim of force majeure for that event for the period of time of such failure to comply, and for any additional delay caused by such failure. Each Settling Defendant shall be deemed to know of any circumstance of which it, any entity controlled by it, or its contractors knew or should have known.

DTSC, agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a force majeure event, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the force majeure event will be extended by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the DTSC, for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the DTSC, does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, EPA will

 notify the affected Settling Defendant in writing of its decision. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the DTSC, agrees that the delay is attributable to a force majeure event, EPA will notify the affected Settling Defendant in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event.

63. If a Settling Defendant elects to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), it shall do so no later than fifteen (15) Days after receipt of EPA's notice. In any such proceeding, the Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that the Settling Defendant complied with the requirements of Paragraphs 60 and 61, above. If the affected Settling Defendant carries this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by such Settling Defendant of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

### XIX. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION</u>

64. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes between Settling Defendant(s) and the United States or DTSC

arising under or with respect to this Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States or DTSC to enforce obligations of Settling Defendants that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section. Procedures in this Section do not apply to disputes among the Settling Defendants that do not involve the United States or DTSC.

65. Any dispute that arises under or with respect to this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed twenty (20) Days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute.

#### 66. Statements of Position.

a. In the event that the parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be considered binding unless, within twenty-one (21) Days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the Settling Defendant involved in the dispute invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States, with a copy to DTSC, a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including but not limited to any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by that Settling Defendant. The Statement of Position shall specify that Settling

Defendant's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 67 or Paragraph 68.

- b. Within twenty-one (21) Days after receipt of a Settling
  Defendant's Statement of Position, EPA will serve on that Settling Defendant its
  Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or
  opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by
  EPA with a copy to DTSC. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement
  as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 67 or 68.
  Within ten (10) Days after receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, the Settling
  Defendant(s) involved in the dispute may submit a Reply. In the event of a
  dispute between EPA and the Settling Defendant(s) under Paragraph 67, DTSC
  shall have the option to submit a Statement of Position within ten (10) days of
  receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, and DTSC's Statement of Position shall be
  part of the administrative record.
- c. If there is disagreement between the parties as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 67 or 68, the procedures set forth in the Paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable shall be followed. However, if the Settling Defendant in the dispute ultimately appeals to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which Paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Paragraphs 67 and 68.
  - 67. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or

adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation:

(a) the adequacy or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA under this Consent Decree; and (b) the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendants regarding the validity of the Interim ROD's or the ESD's provisions.

- a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all statements of position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Section. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental statements of position by the parties to the dispute.
- b. The Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Paragraph 67.a. This decision shall be binding upon the Settling Defendant(s) that is/are party(ies) to the dispute, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Paragraph 67.c and d.
  - c. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to

Paragraph 67.b. shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a motion for judicial review of the decision is filed by the Settling Defendant(s) in the dispute with the Court and served on all parties to the dispute within ten (10) Days of receipt of EPA's decision, with a copy to DTSC. The motion shall include a description of the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to a Settling Defendant's motion.

- d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph,
  Settling Defendant(s) in the dispute shall have the burden of demonstrating that
  the decision of the Superfund Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or
  otherwise not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be
  on the administrative record compiled pursuant to Paragraph 67.a.
- 68. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law, shall be governed by this Paragraph.
- a. Following receipt of a Settling Defendant's Statement of
  Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 66, the Director of the Superfund
  Division, EPA Region 9, will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The
  Superfund Division Director's decision shall be binding unless, within ten (10)

Days of receipt of the decision, a Settling Defendant involved in the dispute files with the Court and serves on the parties a motion for judicial review of the decision, setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to a Settling Defendant's motion.

- b. If Performing Settling Defendant contests payment of any Future DTSC Response Costs, Performing Settling Defendant shall comply with the procedures set forth in this Subparagraph. Prior to requesting formal dispute resolution pursuant to this Subparagraph, Performing Settling Defendant shall notify DTSC's assigned Project Manager and attempt to informally resolve the dispute with DTSC's Project Manager and branch chief.
- (i) If the dispute can not be resolved informally within twenty (20) days, then Performing Settling Defendant shall provide a written request for formal dispute resolution which shall describe all issues in dispute and shall set forth the reasons for the dispute, both factual and legal. The written request for formal dispute resolution and any supporting documentation shall be sent to:

Special Assistant for Cost Recovery and Reimbursement Policy Department of Toxic Substances Control P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95812-0806

Copies of the written request for formal dispute resolution and any supporting documentation shall also be sent to those persons designated by DTSC to receive notices and submissions in Section XXVI of this Consent Decree. A decision on the billing dispute will be rendered by the Special Assistant for Cost Recovery and Reimbursement Policy or other DTSC designee.

- (ii) The decision by the Special Assistant for Cost Recovery and Reimbursement Policy shall be binding on the Performing Settling Defendant unless, within fifteen (15) Days of the receipt of the decision, the Performing Settling Defendant files with the Court and serves on DTSC a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the Parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. DTSC may file a response within thirty (30) days of such motion.
- c. Notwithstanding Paragraph R of Section I (Background) of this Consent Decree, judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable principles of law.
- 69. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone, or affect in any way any obligation of Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless EPA, or DTSC as applicable, or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated Penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed

pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 78. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, Stipulated Penalties shall accrue from the first (1st) Day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event that the Settling Defendant(s) in the dispute do not prevail on the disputed issue, Stipulated Penalties shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

#### XX. STIPULATED PENALTIES

# 70. <u>Liability for Stipulated Penalties</u>

- a. Performing Settling Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraphs 71 and 72 to the United States for failure to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree specified below, unless excused under Section XVIII (Force Majeure). "Compliance" by Performing Settling Defendant shall include completion of the activities under this Consent Decree or any work plan or other plan approved under this Consent Decree identified below in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent Decree, the SOW, and any plans or other documents approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent Decree.
- b. An Owner Settling Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraph 71 for said Settling Defendant's failure to comply with the requirements of Paragraph 23.

#### 71. <u>Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Work.</u>

a. The following Stipulated Penalties shall accrue per violation per Day for any noncompliance identified in Subparagraph 71.b:

Penalty Per Violation Per Day	Period of Noncompliance
\$1,000	1st through 14th Day
\$2,000	15th through 30th Day
\$3,000	31st Day and beyond

- b. <u>Compliance Milestones</u>. Failure to perform any of the following within the specified time schedule provided for in this Consent Decree, SOW, or work plans shall result in stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Subparagraph a:
  - (i) Initiation of construction of Remedial Action;
  - (ii) Completion of construction of Remedial Action;
  - (iii) Achievement of Operational and Functional Status;
- (iv) Compliance with actions required pursuant to the SOW to come back into compliance with the Performance Criteria or applicable drinking water standards (including DHS 97-005 permit limits) or discharge ARARs;
- (v) Compliance with actions required by EPA pursuant to the SOW where EPA has determined it is more likely than not that the Performance Criteria or applicable drinking water standards (including DHS 97-005 permit limits) or discharge ARARs will be exceeded if such actions are not

c. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per Day for failure to submit any other reports or written documents in a timely or adequate manner as set forth in Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions).

Penalty Per Violation Per Day	Period of Noncompliance
\$100	1st through 14th Day
\$500	15th through 30th Day
\$1,000	31st Day and beyond

- 73. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 89 of Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Performing Settling Defendant shall be liable for a stipulated penalty in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1.5 million). In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 89 of Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), and Performing Settling Defendant pays in full the penalty set forth in this Paragraph, Performing Settling Defendant shall not be liable for additional stipulated penalties for failure to perform or complete the Work that EPA assumes.
- 74. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the Day after the complete performance is due or the Day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final Day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, Stipulated Penalties shall not accrue: (a) with respect to a

deficient submission under Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions), during the period, if any, beginning on the thirty-first (31st) Day after EPA's receipt of such submission until the date that EPA notifies Performing Settling Defendant of any deficiency; (b) with respect to a decision by the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, under Paragraph 67.b or 68.a of Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the twenty-first (21st) Day after the date that the affected Settling Defendant's reply to EPA's Statement of Position is received until the date that the Director issues a final decision regarding such dispute; or (c) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any dispute under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the thirty-first (31st) Day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a final decision regarding such dispute. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.

- 75. Following a determination by EPA or DTSC that a Settling
  Defendant has failed to comply with a requirement of this Consent Decree, EPA or
  DTSC may give written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance.
  EPA or DTSC may send a Settling Defendant a written demand for the payment of
  the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in the preceding
  Paragraph regardless of whether EPA or DTSC has provided notice of a violation.
  - 76. All penalties accruing under this Section shall be due and payable

within thirty (30) Days of receipt from EPA or DTSC of a written demand for payment of the penalties, except where a Settling Defendant invokes the dispute resolution procedures under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution). All payments to the United States under this Section shall be paid by FedWire EFT pursuant to the instructions set forth in Paragraph 53.c, or by certified check(s) or cashier's check(s) made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund." Checks shall be mailed to:

EPA - Cincinnati Accounting Operations Attn: Region 9 Superfund Receivables P.O. Box 371099M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

and shall indicate that the payment is for stipulated penalties, and shall reference the EPA Region and Site/Spill ID # 098V, the DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-354/16, the civil action number of this case, and the name and address of the Party making payment. Copies of check(s) paid pursuant to this Section, and any accompanying transmittal letter(s), shall be sent to the United States as provided in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions). However, any Stipulated Penalties accruing under this Section for Performing Settling Defendant's failure to pay Past DTSC Response Costs or Future DTSC Response Costs shall be due and payable to DTSC, pursuant to the payment terms set forth in Paragraph 54.e.

77. Except in the event of EPA's assumption of performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 89 of Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue

by Plaintiffs) and the associated payment of penalties pursuant to Paragraph 73, the payment of penalties shall not alter in any way Performing Settling

Defendant's obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Consent Decree.

- 78. Stipulated Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 74 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:
- a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by a decision of EPA or DTSC that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owing shall be paid within fifteen (15) Days of the agreement or the receipt of EPA's or DTSC's decision or order;
- b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States or DTSC prevails in whole or in part, the affected Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owed to EPA or DTSC within sixty (60) Days of receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in Subparagraph c below;
- c. If the District Court's decision is appealed by any Party, the affected Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owing to the United States or DTSC into an interest-bearing escrow account within sixty (60) Days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every sixty (60) Days. Within fifteen (15) Days of receipt of the final appellate court

decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA or DTSC or to the affected Settling Defendant in accordance with the Court's mandate.

- 79. If Settling Defendants fail to pay Stipulated Penalties when due, the United States or DTSC may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as Interest. Interest shall be paid on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue on the date of demand made pursuant to Paragraph 76.
- 80. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States or DTSC to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of a Settling Defendant's violation of this Consent Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including but not limited to penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(l); provided, however, that the United States or DTSC shall not seek civil penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA for any violation for which a stipulated penalty is provided herein, except in the case of a willful violation of the Consent Decree.
- 81. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States or DSTC may, in their unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of Stipulated Penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree.

### XXI. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS

82. <u>United States' Covenant Not To Sue</u>. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and the payments that have been and will be made by

Settling Defendants under the terms of this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 84 and 88 of this Section, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607(a), and Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, for claims relating to the Interim ROD for the Site, as modified by the ESD, and for recovery of Past Response Costs, Future Response Costs, and UAO Oversight Costs. Except with respect to future liability, these covenants not to sue shall take effect upon the receipt by EPA of the payments required by Paragraph 53 of Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs). With respect to future liability, these covenants not to sue shall take effect upon Certification of Completion of Remedial Action by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 50.b of Section XIV (Certification of Completion). These covenants not to sue are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Performing Settling Defendant and Contributing Settling Defendants of their respective obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to Settling Defendants and do not extend to any other person.

83. Covenant Not to Sue by DTSC. Except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 86 and 88 (General Reservations of Rights) of this Section, DTSC covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and Cal. Health & Safety Code Sections 25323.5, 25355.5, 25358.3, and 25360 for claims relating to

the Interim ROD for the Site, as modified by the ESD, and for recovery of Past DTSC Response Costs and Future DTSC Response Costs. With respect to Contributing Settling Defendants, this covenant not to sue shall take effect upon the payment of Past DTSC Response Costs by Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 53.f. With respect to Performing Settling Defendant, this covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment to DTSC of all payments required from Performing Settling Defendant by Paragraph 53.f (Payment of Past DTSC Response Costs), 54.e (Payment of Future DTSC Response Costs) and any Interest or Stipulated Penalties owed under this Consent Decree. Each Settling Defendant's covenant not to sue is conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by that Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree. This covenant not to sue extends only to Settling Defendants and does not extend to any other person.

- 84. <u>United States' Pre-certification Reservations</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Performing Settling Defendant:
- a. to perform further response actions relating to the intermediate zone remedy at the Site; or
  - b. to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response

for the intermediate zone remedy at the Site, if, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action:

- (1) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered, or
- whole or in part,
  and EPA determines that these previously unknown conditions or information,
  together with any other relevant information, indicate that the Remedial Action is
  not protective of human health or the environment. If EPA makes such a
  determination, DTSC reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to,
  the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an
  administrative order seeking to compel Performing Settling Defendant to
  reimburse DTSC for additional costs of response.
- 85. For purposes of Paragraph 84, the information and the conditions known to EPA shall include only that information known to EPA as of the date this Consent Decree is lodged with the Court, and those conditions which are set forth in the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD, and the administrative record supporting the Interim ROD and the ESD.
- 86. <u>DTSC's Pre-certification Reservations</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, subject to federal preemption law, DTSC reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, any rights that DTSC

may have under applicable law to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Performing Settling Defendant:

- a. to perform further response actions not inconsistent with the NCP relating to the Site, or
- b. to reimburse DTSC for additional costs of response if, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action
- (1) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to DTSC, are discovered, or
- (2) information previously unknown to DTSC, is received, in whole or in part, and DTSC determines that these previously unknown conditions or information, together with any other relevant information, indicate that further response actions are necessary to protect human health or the environment.
- 87. a. For purposes of Paragraph 86, the information and the conditions known to DTSC shall include only that information known to DTSC as of the date this Consent Decree is lodged with the Court, and those conditions which are set forth in the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD, and the administrative record supporting the Interim ROD and the ESD.
- b. This Consent Decree does not waive any rights or authority

  EPA may have with respect to the Site, including, but not limited to, EPA's right to

claim that DTSC actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree are in conflict with CERCLA or other federal law, or would interfere with the remedy selected by EPA, would stand as an obstacle to the purpose or objectives of CERCLA, or are otherwise preempted by law.

- 88. General reservations of rights. The United States and DTSC reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to all matters not expressly included within Plaintiffs' covenant not to sue. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC reserve all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to:
- a. as to Performing Settling Defendant only, claims based on a failure by Performing Settling Defendant to meet a requirement applicable to it under this Consent Decree;
- b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material outside of the Site;
- c. liability based upon Settling Defendants' transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal of Waste Material at or in connection with the Site, other than as provided in the Interim ROD as modified by the ESD, the Work, or otherwise ordered by EPA, after signature of this Consent Decree by Settling Defendants;

- d. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
  - e. criminal liability;
- f. liability for violations of federal or state law which occur during or after implementation of the Remedial Action;
- g. as to Performing Settling Defendant only, liability, prior to
  Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, for additional response
  actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve the Performance Criteria, but
  that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 13 (Modification of the SOW or
  Related Work Plans);
- h. liability for any other operable units of the San Gabriel Valley Superfund Site;
- i. liability for any response actions or response costs at the Site that occur after the later of: (i) the date eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date, or (ii) the date of issuance of a final Record of Decision for the Site; and
- j. as to Performing Settling Defendant only, liability for implementation of the shallow zone remedy South of Puente Creek, which remedy is currently being implemented by Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to the Board Order.
  - 89. Work Takeover. In the event EPA determines that Performing

1.9 

Settling Defendant has ceased implementation of any portion of the Work, is seriously or repeatedly deficient or late in its performance of the Work, or is implementing the Work in a manner that may cause an endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA may assume the performance of all or any portions of the Work as EPA determines necessary. Performing Settling Defendant may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 67, to dispute EPA's determination that takeover of the Work is warranted under this Paragraph. Costs incurred by the United States in performing the Work pursuant to this Paragraph during the period eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date, shall be considered Future Response Costs that Performing Settling Defendant shall pay pursuant to Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs).

90. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

### XXII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

91. Covenant Not to Sue. Subject to the reservations in Paragraph 92, Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States or DTSC relating to the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD, past response actions, Past Response Costs, Future Response Costs, Past DTSC Response Costs, Future DTSC Response Costs, or

this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to:

- a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, 9613, or any other provision of law;
- b. any claims against the United States or DTSC, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113 related to the Site;
- c. any claims arising out of response actions at or in connection with the Site, including any claim under the United States Constitution; the California Constitution; the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491; the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended; or at common law; or
- d. any direct or indirect claim for disbursement from the Puente Valley Operable Unit Special Account.

Except as provided in Paragraph 100 (Waiver of Claim-Splitting Defenses), these covenants not to sue shall not apply in the event that the United States or DTSC bring a cause of action or issues an order pursuant to the reservations set forth in Paragraphs 84, 86, 88(b) - (d) or 88 (g) - (i) but only to the extent that Settling Defendants' claims arise from the same response action, response costs, or damages that the United States or DTSC is seeking pursuant to the applicable

reservation.

1

25

26

27

28

92. Settling Defendants reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, any such claim shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a federal employee as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671; nor shall any such claim include a claim based on EPA's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of the Settling Defendants' plans or activities. The foregoing applies only to claims which are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA. The covenant in Paragraph 91 shall not extend to any claims relating to any response actions at the Site that occur after the later of: (i) the date eight (8) years from the Operational and Functional Date; or (ii) the date of issuance of a final Record of Decision for the Site.

93. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute

27

28

preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

Treatment of Costs Incurred In Implementing Consent Decree For 94. Purposes Of Government Contract Costing Or Pricing. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as a waiver by the Performing Settling Defendant of any right it may have to include costs incurred in implementation of this Consent Decree or any other work at or in connection with the Site in its proposal of allowable costs for purposes of costing or pricing pursuant to contracts with the United States. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create or recognize any such right. The incurrence or payment of any costs by the Performing Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree, or inclusion of such costs in the Performing Settling Defendant's proposal for purposes of costing or pricing of contracts with the United States, does not, in and of itself, render such costs allocable or allowable for Government contracting purposes. For Government contracting purposes, the costs incurred in implementing this Consent Decree remain subject to applicable provisions of: (a) the Federal Acquisition Regulation ("FAR") and Cost Accounting Standards ("CAS"); (b) agency implementing regulations of FAR; (c) the contract(s) between the Performing Settling Defendant and the United States pursuant to which such costing or pricing proposals are submitted; and (d) any determination by the cognizant Contracting Officer concerning allocability and allowability of such costs, subject to any right

 of appeal Performing Settling Defendant may have under the applicable contract(s) or the FAR.

Osting Or Pricing. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, Northrop Grumman agrees that it will not claim or include as allowable costs for purposes of costing or pricing pursuant to contracts with the United States any amounts it may pay as Stipulated Penalties pursuant to Paragraphs 71-73 of this Consent Decree, and that any Stipulated Penalties imposed under this Consent Decree shall be treated as unallowable costs.

#### XXIII. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

96. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Decree may have under applicable law. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action that each Party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto.

## 97. Scope of Contribution Protection

The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds that Settling Defendants are entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from

28

contribution actions or claims as provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), for matters addressed in this Consent Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree with respect to the Settling Defendants are Past Response Costs; Future Response Costs; all Work required by this Consent Decree and the SOW; Past DTSC Response Costs, Future DTSC Response Costs, and all other costs incurred by any person related to the Interim ROD, as modified by the ESD; provided, however, and notwithstanding the preceding, Contributing Settling Defendants shall not be entitled to protection from contribution actions or claims asserted by Performing Settling Defendant for additional Work or Future Response Costs related to response actions necessary for the work under the ESD. And provided further, that if Performing Settling Defendant asserts any claims against Contributing Settling Defendants for recovery or contribution of such costs, neither Performing Settling Defendant nor Contributing Settling Defendants shall be entitled to protection from contribution actions or claims asserted by any Contributing Settling Defendant for additional Work or Future Response Costs related to response actions necessary for the Work under the ESD. Nothing in this Consent Decree is intended or shall be construed to alter or amend any rights or obligations arising out of any agreements previously entered into between Performing Settling Defendant and a Contributing Settling Defendant.

98. Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will

notify the United States and DTSC in writing no later than sixty (60) Days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.

- 99. Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the United States and DTSC within ten (10) Days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and DTSC within ten (10) Days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within ten (10) Days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.
- any Party for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, the Parties shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs) or in Section XXII (Covenants by Settling Defendants).

### XXIV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

101. Each Settling Defendant shall provide to EPA and DTSC, upon

request, copies of all documents and information within such Settling Defendant's possession or control or that of its contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the Work. Performing Settling Defendant shall also make available to EPA and DTSC, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work.

#### 102. Business Confidential and Privileged Documents.

a. A Settling Defendant may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to EPA and DTSC, or if EPA has notified a Settling Defendant that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA or 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to such Settling Defendant.

 b. A Settling Defendant may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal or California law. If a Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, it shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (i) the title of the document, record, or information; (ii) the date of the document, record, or information; (iii) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (iv) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (v) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information; and (vi) the privilege asserted. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

103. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data, including but not limited to all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.

### XXV. RETENTION OF RECORDS

104. Until sixteen (16) years from the Effective Date of this Consent

Decree, each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all non-identical copies
of records and documents (including records or documents in electronic form)
now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that

relate to its liability under CERCLA with respect to the Site, provided, however, that Settling Defendants who are potentially liable as owners or operators of the Site must retain, in addition, all documents and records now in its possession or control which relate to the liability of any other person under CERCLA with respect to the Site. Performing Settling Defendant must also retain, and instruct its contractors and agents to preserve, for the same period of time specified above, all non-identical copies of the last draft or final version of any documents or records (including documents or records in electronic form) now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the Work, provided, however, that Performing Settling Defendant (and its contractors and agents) must retain, in addition, copies of all data generated during the performance of the Work and not contained in the aforementioned documents required to be retained. Each of the above record retention requirements shall apply regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.

Defendant shall notify the United States and DTSC at least ninety (90) Days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by the United States or DTSC, the Settling Defendant shall deliver any such records or documents to EPA and/or DTSC. Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client

27

28

privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If a Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege, it shall provide EPA and DTSC with the following: (a) the title of the document, record, or information; (b) the date of the document, record, or information; (c) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (d) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (e) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (f) the privilege asserted by the Settling Defendant. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

106. Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies individually that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not since notification of potential liability by the United States or DTSC or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site, altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information (other than identical copies) relating to its potential liability regarding the Site, except as such documents were destroyed or altered in the ordinary course of said Settling Defendant's business and in compliance with State and federal law, and certifies no such records have been destroyed for an improper purpose. Each Settling Defendant further certifies that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Section 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e), 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927.

### XXVI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

1 2 107. Whenever under the terms of this Consent Decree written notice is 3 required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one 4 5 Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified 6 below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the 7 other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective 8 9 upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall 10 constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent 11 Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, DTSC, and the Settling 12 13 Defendants, respectively. 14 As to the United States: Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section 15 Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice 16 P.O. Box 7611 17 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Re: DJ # 90-11-2-354/16 18 19 Elise S. Feldman **Environmental Enforcement Section** 20 Environment and Natural Resources Division 21 U.S. Department of Justice 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 22 San Francisco, California 94105 23 Dana Barton As to EPA: 24 **EPA Project Manager** 25 United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 26 75 Hawthorne Street 27 San Francisco, California 94105

1 2 3 4	As to the Regional Accounting Contact:	David Wood, PMD-6 Section Chief Superfund Accounting Program Policy and Management Division 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105
5	A DETECTION	
6	As to DTSC:	Jacalyn Spiszman DTSC Project Manager
7		Department of Toxic Substances Control
8		5796 Corporate Avenue Cypress, California 90630
9		
10		Ann Rushton Deputy Attorney General
11		300 South Spring Street
12		Los Angeles, California 90013
13	As to Performing	Christian Volz
14	Settling Defendant:	McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 101 California Street, 41st Floor
15		San Francisco, California 94111
16		Elizabeth C. Brown
17		Senior Counsel
18		Northrop Grumman Corporation 1840 Century Park East
19		M/S 90/110/CC
20		Century City, California 90067-2199
21	As to Contributing	Counsel as listed on caption for
22	Settling Defendants:	notices applicable to a particular Contributing Settling Defendant.
23		Continuing Setting Defendant.
24	XX	VII. <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>
25	-	•
26	108. The effective date	of this Consent Decree shall be the date upon
27	which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, except as otherwise provided	
28		

2

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

herein.

### XXVIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this action and Consent Decree and the Parties for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) hereof or for any other purpose as may be just and proper.

### XXIX. APPENDICES

110. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree:

- "Appendix A" is the Interim ROD.
- "Appendix B" is the ESD.
- "Appendix C" is a map of the Site.
- "Appendix D" is the SOW.
- "Appendix E" is a draft easement.
- "Appendix F" is the list of Contributing Settling Defendants.
- "Appendix G" is the list of the Owner Settling Defendants and the Identified Properties.

"Appendix H" is the list of Affiliates.

### XXX. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

111. Performing Settling Defendant agrees to participate in the community relations plan to be developed by EPA. Performing Settling Defendant shall also cooperate with EPA in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA, Performing Settling Defendant shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

### XXXI. MODIFICATION

- 112. Schedules specified in this Consent Decree for completion of the Work may be modified by agreement of EPA and Performing Settling Defendant.

  All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- 113. Except as provided in Paragraph 13 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans), no material modifications shall be made to the SOW without written notification to and written approval of the United States, Performing Settling Defendant, and the Court, if such modifications fundamentally alter the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(c)(2)(B)(ii). Prior to providing its approval to any modification, the United States will provide DTSC with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification. Modifications to the SOW that do not

materially alter that document, or material modifications to the SOW that do not fundamentally alter the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(c)(2)(B)(ii), may be made by written agreement between EPA, after providing DTSC with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification, and Performing Settling Defendant.

114. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

### XXXII. LODGING, OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

- 115. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than thirty (30) Days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.
- 116. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

### XXXIII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

- 117. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this
  Consent Decree, the undersigned representative of DTSC and the California
  Deputy Attorney General representing DTSC, and the Assistant Attorney General
  for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice
  certifies that they are fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this
  Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind the Party they represent to this
  document.
- 118. Each Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified Settling Defendants in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.
- page, the name, title, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on its behalf with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. If no agent is specified, the attorneys for Settling Defendants listed at the beginning of this document shall be deemed to be the agent authorized to accept service at the address listed. Settling Defendants hereby agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including but not limited to service of a

24.25.

summons. The Parties agree that Settling Defendants need not file an answer to the complaint in this action unless or until the court expressly declines to enter this Consent Decree.

### XXXIV. REVOCATION OF UAO

120. On or about March 21, 2002, EPA issued UAO Docket No. 2002-06 to Performing Settling Defendant's corporate predecessor, TRW, Inc. UAO Docket No. 2002-06 presently requires Performing Settling Defendant, among other things, to perform the interim remedial design and remedial action for the intermediate groundwater zone at the Site pursuant to the Interim ROD. EPA shall revoke UAO Docket No. 2002-06 within two weeks after entry of this Consent Decree. However, if the Court declines to enter the Consent Decree, or the United States withdraws or withholds its consent to the Consent Decree because comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate, then EPA reserves all of its rights against Performing Settling Defendant, and UAO Docket No. 2002-06 shall remain in effect.

### XXXV. FINAL JUDGMENT

121. This Consent Decree and its appendices constitute the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding between the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants with respect to the settlement embodied in the Consent Decree. The Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants acknowledge that there are no representations,

agreements or understandings between the Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Consent Decree. As noted above, nothing in this Consent Decree is intended or shall be construed to alter or amend any rights or obligations arising out of any agreements previously entered into between Performing Settling Defendant and a Contributing Settling Defendant.

122. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment between the United States, DTSC and Settling Defendants. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS DAY OF , 20 .

United States District Judge

1	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:		
2	U.S. Department of Justice		
3	C.S. Department of sustice		
4			
5			
6	Dated:		
7	JOHN C. CRUDEN Acting Assistant Attorney General		
8	Environment and Natural Resources		
9	Division		
10	U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530		
11	11 402223, 2001, 2000		
12			
13	Dated: 5/18/09		
14	ELISE S. FELDMAN		
15	ELIZABETH F. KROOP Environmental Enforcement Section		
16	Environment and Natural Resources		
17	Division U.S. Department of Justice		
18	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050		
19	San Francisco, California 94105		
20	Telephone: (415)744-6470 Facsimile: (415) 744-6476		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

### FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

### U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Dated: 4/17/09

### KEITH TAKATA

Director of the Superfund Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

Dated: 4/17/2009

DUSTIN MINOR
Acting Branch Chief
Hazardous Waste Branch
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

# FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL:

Dated: 5/13/200 9

J. T. LIU
Supervising Hazardous Substance
Engineer I
Department of Toxic Substances Control
Cypress Office
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, California 90630

Dated: 5-14-09

ANN RUSHTON
Deputy Attorney General
Environment Section
California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90013



- 110 -

### FOR PERFORMING SETTLING DEFENDANT

NORTHROP GRUMMAN SPACE & MISSION SYSTEMS CORP., AND ITS CORPORATE PREDECESSORS AND AFFILIATES TRW INC. AND LUCAS WESTERN LLC (FORMERLY WESTERN GEAR CORPORATION), (COLLECTIVELY, NORTHROP GRUMMAN SPACE & MISSION SYSTEMS CORP.):

Dated: March 12, 2009	Signature:  Kraig Scheyer  Name:
	Title: VP, Administrative Services
	Address: 1840 Century Park East
Agent Authorized to Accept Service of	Los Angeles, CA 90067 on Behalf of Above-signed Party
	Name (Print):
	Title: Senior Counsel  1840 Century Park East Address: Los Angeles, CA 90067
	Phone Number: (310) 201-3278

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:		
2	ARVINMERITOR, INC. (Part of the "825 Lawson Street Parties")		
3		·	
5	Dated: March 27, 2009	Signature	
6		Name: Vernon G. Baker, II Senior Vice President &	
7		Title: General Counsel  2135 W. Maple Rd.	
8	, '	Address: Troy, MI 48084	
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party		
10		Name (Print):	
11		Title:	
12		Address:	
13		Phone Number:	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT	TUNG DEFENDANT:
-------------------------------------	-----------------

RONALD C. KILPING (Part of

RONALD C. KILPING (Par	t of the "825 Lawson Street Parties")?
Dated: 3-26-09	Signatur  Name: Land Land Land Land  Title:  Address: 12411 5 E 282 425 4
Agent Authorized to Accept S	ervice on Behalf of Above-signed Party  Name (Print):
	Name (Print):
	Title:
	Address:
•	Phone Number:
	•

Street Parties")

1	1 FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLIN	VG DEFENDANT:
2	<b>.</b>	,
3	3	
4	Dated: 3/26/09	Signature:
5		Name:
6		Title:
7		Address:
9	Agant Authorized to Agant Comica	on Behalf of Above-signed Party
10		Name (Print):
11		Title:
12	<b>  </b> ;i	Address:
13	13	Phone Number:
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17		
18		•
19		•
20		•
21 22	21	
23		•
24	<b>Ⅵ</b> :	
25		
26		·
27	11 :	
28		

### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:

ALBERTSON LAW GROUP, P.S., successor to REHBERG & ALBERTSON P.S., as Trustee of the KIPLING LIVING TRUST dated April 28, 1987 and of the KIPLING GENERATION SKIPPING TURST dated October 20, 1989 (Part of the "825 Lawson Street Parties") Dated:\_ 3-26-09 Signature: Name: Mark D. Alberton Title: Truster Address: 124 4th Nie S., Kent. WA9832 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Mark O- ALBERTAN Title: TrusiEE Address: 12x whove S- Kene, wA90032 Phone Number: (253)852-9772

1	
2	l
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1

	•
FOR CONTRIBUTING SET	TLING DEFENDANT:
SOTO ASSOCIATES (Part o	f the "825 Lawson Street Parties")
Dated: 3/26/09	Signature:
	Name: GARY ZAMIR
1	Title: GENERAL PORTNER.
	Address: 16/33 VENTURA BL STE 1175
Agent Authorized to Accept Se	Address: 16/33 VENTURA BL STE 1175  EN CIND CA 9/1936  rvice on Behalf of Above-signed Party
	Name (Print):
	Title:
	Address:
	Phone Number:
,	
	•
	•
,	
	•
·	
;	·
;	
;	
,	

l FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: JACOB SPERLING, individually and as Trustee of the JACOB SPERLING FAMILY TRUST (Part of the "825 Lawson Street Parties") Dated: 3-26-09 Signature: Name: \_\_\_ Title: Address: 253 N. VINDLAMS AVE Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Title:\_\_\_\_\_ Address:\_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number: 

### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:

ZVI SPERILING, individually and as Trustee of the SPERLING FAMILY TRUST (Part of the "825 Lawson Street Parties")

Dated: 3-20-09	Signature:	
	Name: ZV. SPERLING	
	Title:	
253 N. VINLAMD AV	Address: 11769 CHENAULT ST	(
273 N. VINLAND AV  CITY OF TABUSTAY (A  Agent Authorized to Accept Service	e on Behalf of Above-signed Party	
	Name (Print):	
	Title:	
	Address: 11769 CHENAULT SX	,
	Phone Number: 3/0 / 96 / PP	

		!
1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLE	NG DEFENDANT:
2.		rt of the "825 Lawson Street Parties")
3		,
4		Signature:
5	11 .	Name:
6		Title:
7		Address:
8	Agent Authorized to Accent Service	e on Behalf of Above-signed Party
9		Name (Print):
10		Title:
11		Address:
12		Phone Number:
13		I HOME I WILLDOL.
14	· ·	
15		:
16		
17	, <b>i</b>	
18		
19		! ;
20		•
21	- (1 )	
22	· II · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
23		
24	<b> </b>	
25	<b>∥</b> i	•
26		
27	1	
28		

### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: THE BIXBY RANCH COMPANY: Signature:\_\_ Dated: March 13, 2009 Name: An-Ping Hsieh · President, Hamilton Standard Controls, Inc., Title: Indemnitor of The Bixby Ranch Company c/ô United Technologies Corporation Address: One Financial Plaza, M/S 524-Legal Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Kathleen M. McFadden Title: Counsel United Technologies Corporation Address: One Financial Plaza, M/S 524-Legal Hartford, CT 06101 Phone Number: 860-728-7895

### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: HAMILTON STANDARD CONTROLS, INC. Signature:\_ Dated: March 13, 2009 Name: An-Ping Hsieh Title: President, Hamilton Standard Controls, Inc. c/o United Technologies Corporation Address: One Financial Plaza, M/S 524-Legal Hartford, CT 06101 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Kathleen M. McFadden Title: Counsel United Technologies Corporation Address: One Financial Plaza, M/S 524-Legal Hartford, CT 06101 Phone Number: 860-728-7895

FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:		
2	CALIFORNIA HYDROFORMING COMPANY, INC.		
3			
4	Dated: 3/17/09 Signature:		
5	Dated: 511/109 Signature:		
6	Name: LAVID BONAFEDE Title: PRESIDENT		
7	Title: VIESIDEN 1 8505. LAWSON 51.		
. 8	Address: CTY OF INASTRY, CA		
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party		
10 11	Name (Print):		
12	Title:		
13	Address:		
14	Phone Number:		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	·		
21			
22			
23	,		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	i ·		

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:	
2	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY	
3	·	
4		
5	Dated: 3/11/3609 Signature:	
6	Name: JOHN J. FUREY	
7	Title: VICE PRESIDENT & CORP. SECR	STARY
8	Address: I CAMOBELL PL. CAMOEN, N	5. 0810
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party	
10	Name (Print): Faith Greenfield	
11		
12	Title: <u>Vice-President - Leg</u> al One Campbell Place	
13	Address: Camden, NJ 08103	
14	Phone Number: (856) 342-6121	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: THE JOSEPH CAMPBELL COMPANY Dated: 3/12/09 Signature: Name: Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Faith Greenfield Title:\_ One Campbell Place Address: Camden. N.L. 08103 Phone Number: (856) 342-6121

•	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTEMORETENDANT.		
2	CARMEX RAILROAD LLC		
3			
4	2 2 2 2		
5	Dated: 3/14/09 Signature:		
6	Name: COLIN KRUGER		
7	Title: Hawagek		
8	Address: 375 Bristol S. #50 Costa MESA, 92626		
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party		
10	Name (Print): Lobelt Russell Esa		
11			
12	Title: ATTORNET, Procolio CORY		
13	Address: 530 B St. Suite 2100 SAN DIECTO CA 92101		
14	Phone Number: 619 238 1900.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	·		
24			
25			

## 1 FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: 2 JACK ECOFF FAMILY TRUST

### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: **EIGHTH & PROCTOR LLC** Dated: March 18, 2009 Signature Name: Namey J. Rich Title: Attorney Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP Address: 525 W. Monroe, Suite 1988 Chicago, IL 60661 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Nancy J. Rich Title: Attorney Katten Muchin Rosenman, LLP Address:525 W. Monroe, Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60661 Phone Number: 312-902-5536

_1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:	
2	GS INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC	
3	. "	
4		
5	Dated: 4/7/09 Signature:	
6	Name: 6 Toseph Scatoloni	•
7	Title: President	
8	Address: 12/45 MORA DR #7	
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party	70
10	Name (Print): John H. Cabellero	
11		
12	Title: Afterney	Tank Fr
13	Address: (20) (Letter 121, 3511)	Jours Q
14	Title: Attorney  Address: (2070 Telegraph Rd. Side 106,  Phone Number: (562) 941-7488	9,620
15		10070
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	-	•

# 

#### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:

## INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY

Dated: March 13, 2009	Signature:
	Name: Steven J. Ginski
	Title: Chief Counsel, EHS & Sustainability
	Address: 6400 Poplar Avenue
Agent Authorized to Accept Servi	Memphis, TN 38197 ice on Behalf of Above-signed Party
	Name (Print): John CERMAK
	Title: ATTNY, Foe!
	Address: BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP 12100 WILShike BLUN 15th F. Phone Number: 105 ANGERES, CA. 5102
	310-442-8899

#### FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: HITCHCOCK REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS, LLC Dated: 3-17-2009 Signature:\_ Name: \_\_\_Penny L. Reeves Attorney Title: 5750 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 655 Address: Los Angeles, CA 90036 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): \_\_\_\_\_ Title:\_\_\_\_\_ Address:\_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number:

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTI	LING DEFENDANT:
2	LIFE TECHNOLOGIES CORE CORPORATION, CORPORAT	PORATE SUCCESSOR TO INVITROGEN TE SUCCESSOR TO DEXTER
	CORPORATION	
4		
5	D. 1.21 May 10-1	G:
7	Dated: 13 March 7:009	Signature:
		Name: Joseph W. Secondine 31.
8 9		Name: Joseph W. Secondine Jr.  Title: Associate (eneral lounsel 3 Asst 5791 Van Allenuby Seember  Address: Carsbad, CA 92008
10		
11	Agent Authorized to Accept Serv	ice on Behalf of Above-signed Party
12		Name (Print):
13		Title:
14		Address:
15		Phone Number:
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		·
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: ITT CORPORATION Dated: 3 16 09 Signature:\_\_ Name: DONALD E. FOLK Title: SENIOR VICE RESIDENT. Address: 1133 WESTCHESTER AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NY 10604 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party Name (Print): Fern Fleischer Daves Title: Serior Course 1133 Westchester Are Address: White Plains NY 10604 Phone Number: 9146412148

- 1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:
2	OAKITE PRODUCTS, INC.
3	
4	/// al. a
5	Dated: 4/13/09 Signature:
6	Name: <u>(athy Robb</u>
7	Title: Counsel For Oakste
8	Address: 200 Park Ave, NY, NY 10166
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party
10	Name (Print): //athu Robb
11	Title: Counsel for Dakite
12	Hunton & Williams
13	Address: 200 Park AVE, NY, NY 10146
14	Phone Number: <u>212, 309, 1128</u>
15	
16 17	,
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:
2	JOSEPH POLTORAK
3	
4	
5.	Dated: 3/20/09 Signature: (10 feet to the elle (digges d)
6	Dated: 5/20/09  Signature: Joseph Yoth Rh (dagased)  Name: DAPTINE POLTORAIC
7	Title: Mrs.
8	34300 Lantern Bay Dr. #14 Address: Dana Point, CA 92629
9	Address: Dane Point CA 92629 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party
10	-
11	Name (Print): DAPHNE POLTORAK
12	Title: Mrs.  34300 Lanten Bay Dr. #4  Address: Dana Point, CA 92629
13	
14	Phone Number: (949) 493-1045
15	
16	
17	'
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLIN	G DEFENDANT:
2	ARTHUR SCHULTZ	
3		
4	Dated: 3/19/09	
5	Dated: // //O	Signature.
6		Name:
7		Title: ARTHUR B. SCHULTZ P.O. Box 7275
8		Address: Incline Village, NV 89450
9 10	Agent Authorized to Accept Service	on Behalf of Above-signed Party
11		Name (Print):
12		Title:
13		Address:
14		Phone Number:
15		
16		n
17		1
18		
19		1
20		
21		
22		İ
23		1
24 25		
26 27		
27 28		j
ا 5		
		- 146 -

## FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: DANIEL SAPARZEDAH Signature: Dated: 3/23/09 Name: Danial Sordorzald Title: Address: 11950 San vicente Blid # 200 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party 0649 Name (Print): Title:\_\_\_\_\_ Address: Phone Number:

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:
2	SIGMA PLATING COMPANY, INC.
3	
4	3/1/29
5	Dated: 3/16/09 Signature
6	Name: JEFFREY SHARP
7	Title: PRESIDENT
8	Address: 1040 S. OTTERBEIN, LA PUENTE
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party
10	Name (Print): David W. Burhenn, Burhenn & GEST Lut
11	Title: Attorney
12 13	
14	Address: 624 S. GRAND AVE, SUITE 2200 has Angiles, CA 90017 Phone Number: 213-629-8788
15	Filone Number. <u>013-629-8788</u>
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	EAD CONTRIBUTING SETTI ING DESERVINANT.	
2	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:	
3	OTTERBEIN PROPERTIES, INC.	
4		
5	Dated: $\frac{3}{16}/09$ Signature:	
6	Name: VEFFREY SHARP	
7	Name: <u>MEFFREY SHARP</u>	
8	Title: PRESIDENT	
9	Address: 1040 S. OTTERBEIN, LA PUENTE	
10	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party	
11	Name (Print): David W. Burhann, Burhanne	GEST LL
12	Title: Attorney	
13	· ·	L.A. CA.
14	Address: 624 S. GRANN AVE., SUITE 2000 Phone Number: 213-629-8788	90017
15	1 Hone 14umber	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

## FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT: SOLO ENTERPRISES CORP. Dated: 3/27/09 Signature: Name: Margaret B. Mugica Title: President Address: 220 N. California Ave. Title: President Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-s 10 Signature: 11 Name: Michael A. Francis, Esq. 12 Attorney for Solo Enterprises Corp. 13 Address: 801 South Grand Avenue, 10th Floor 14 Los Angeles, California 90017 Phone Number: (213) 624-8407 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFI	ENDANT:
2	M-BRO CORP.	
3		
4		
5	Dated: <u>3/27/09</u>	Signature
6	1	Name: Margaret B. Mugica
7	,	rus. PrecidenT
8		Address: 220 N. California Ave.
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Beha.	Address: 220 N. California Ave.  City of Industry CH 91744  If of Above-signed Party
10		Signature:
11	1	Name: Michael A. Francis, Esq.
12	<u> </u>	Attorney for M-Bro Corp.
13		Address: 801 South Grand Avenue, 10th Floor
14	<u> </u>	Los Angeles, California 90017
15	]	Phone Number: <u>(213)</u> 624-8407
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	,	

1	FOR CONTRIBUTING SETTLING DEFENDANT:
2	THE MUGICA FAMILY TRUST; RICHARD MUGICA JR., AS TRUSTEE OF THE MUGICA FAMILY TRUST; EDWARD MUGICA, AS TRUSTEE OF THE MUGICA
3	FAMILY TRUST; MARGARET MUGICA, AS TRUSTEE OF THE MUGICA FAMILY TRUST.
4	
5	Dated: 3/27/09 Signature
6	Name: Margaret B. Mugica Title: Trustee
7	Title: Truslee
8	Address: 220 N. California Ave City of Industry CA 91744 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party
9	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party
10	Q: .
11	Name: Michael A. Francis, Esq.
12	Attorney for Mugica Family Trust
13	Address: 801 South Grand Avenue, 10th Floor
14	Los Angeles, California 90017
15	Phone Number: (213) 624-8407
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	II